

LEGAL AID DELIVERY: TECHNOLOGY, PRO BONO SERVICES, AND COLLABORATIVE FUNDING MODELS

LUDMILA GONCEARENCO¹ PhD Student

ORCID number: 0009-0008-6976-8671

Abstract: *This paper examines the delivery of legal aid services in the context of technological advancements, pro bono services, and collaborative funding models. The study employs a comprehensive literature review, comparative analysis, and case studies to identify key concepts, theories, and best practices related to legal aid delivery. The research findings suggest that technology can be a powerful tool for legal aid providers to increase efficiency, reach a wider audience, and provide better services. Pro bono services and collaborative funding models can also be crucial in expanding legal aid services and improving access to justice. The paper provides insights and recommendations for legal aid providers, policymakers, and funders to improve legal aid delivery through technology, pro bono services, and collaborative funding models. Overall, this paper contributes to the growing body of literature on the evolution of legal aid delivery and the role of technology and collaboration in improving access to justice.*

Key words: *legal aid delivery, technology, pro bono services, collaborative funding models, access to justice*

JEL: K40, O33, H81

1. Introduction

Access to justice is a fundamental human right; however, it remains unattainable for many individuals worldwide, particularly those who are low-income or marginalized (Albiston & Sandefur, 2013). Legal aid is crucial in ensuring everyone can access the legal help they need, regardless of income or social status. However, the delivery of legal aid services can be a complex and resource-intensive process. In recent years, legal aid providers have adopted innovative delivery models to enhance the efficiency and impact of their services. These models encompass pro bono services, online matching platforms, collaborative funding models, and shared services (Susskind, 2023). However, the COVID-19 pandemic has presented novel challenges and opportunities for legal aid delivery, requiring providers to adapt swiftly to new modes of service delivery while facing increased demand for their services. This article will

¹ ludmilagoncearenco@gmail.com, Academy of Economic Studies of Moldova, Republic of Moldova

examine some innovative legal aid delivery models utilized globally and how legal aid providers have addressed the challenges posed by the COVID-19 pandemic.

2. Methodology

The methodology used in this paper involved a comprehensive literature review in identifying key concepts, theories, and best practices related to legal aid delivery, technology, pro bono services, and collaborative funding models (Creswell & Creswell, 2017). In addition, various sources, such as academic journals, industry reports, government publications, and relevant sources, were reviewed to gain a broader understanding of the topic.

A comparative analysis was employed to identify similarities and differences in legal aid delivery approaches, collecting and analysing data from industry associations, government agencies, and academic research (Miles & Huberman, 1994). In addition, key factors such as technology, pro bono services, and collaborative funding models were analysed.

Case studies of several countries were evaluated to gain a more detailed analysis of the strategies and technologies used to deliver legal aid (Yin, 2003). The cases were chosen based on their relevance to the research questions and their potential to provide valuable insights.

A comprehensive literature review, comparative analysis, case studies, and qualitative research methods provide a comprehensive and insightful analysis of legal aid delivery, technology, pro bono services, and collaborative funding models.

3. Adopting Technology for Legal Aid Delivery

Technology has the potential to significantly enhance the provision of legal aid services by improving access to legal information and resources, streamlining the intake process, and facilitating communication between clients and lawyers (Brescia, McCarthy, McDonald, Potts, & Rivais, 2014).

Online portals can provide clients access to legal information and resources, including self-help materials, instructional videos, and frequently asked questions. These portals can be designed to be user-friendly and accessible to individuals with limited legal knowledge. Examples of websites that provide free legal information and resources include LawHelp.org (Legal Services Corporation, n.d.-a), the Community Law Manual (Community Law, n.d.), LawAccess NSW (LawAccess NSW, n.d.), Citizens Advice (Citizens Advice, n.d.), and MyLawBC (British Columbia government).

Chatbots are computer programs that use artificial intelligence to simulate conversations with human users. For example, legal aid providers can use chatbots to provide clients with automated assistance, such as answering

frequently asked questions, completing intake forms, and providing referrals to legal professionals. Examples of chatbots that provide legal assistance include DoNotPay (Browder, n.d.), LawBot (University of Cambridge, n.d.), Ask Izzy (Infoxchange, n.d.), Legal Robot (Legal Robot, n.d.), and Avvo (Avvo, n.d.).

Mobile apps can provide clients with on-the-go access to legal information and resources. For example, legal aid providers can develop apps allowing users to search for legal services, schedule appointments, and receive case notifications. Examples of mobile apps that provide access to legal information and resources include LegalAidSA (Legal Services Commission of South Australia, n.d.), Lawyers Without Borders (Lawyers Without Borders, n.d.), Alberta Legal Info (Centre for Public Legal Education Alberta, n.a.), JusticeHub (United Nations Development Programme, n.a.), and Legal Rights Handbook (Hong Kong Federation of Youth Groups, n.a.).

Videoconferencing can facilitate remote communication between clients and lawyers, overcoming geographic barriers to legal aid services. Videoconferencing can be particularly useful in rural areas or cases where clients cannot travel to a physical office. Examples of organizations that use videoconferencing technology to provide legal services include Legal Aid Ontario (Legal Aid Ontario, n.d.), the Legal Aid Society of New York (Legal Aid Society of New York, n.d.), Legal Aid Queensland (Legal Aid Queensland, n.d.), the Legal Aid Society of Hawaii (Legal Aid Society of Hawaii, n.d.), and Legal Aid South Africa (Legal Aid South Africa, n.d.).

Case management software can help legal aid providers to streamline their operations and manage their cases more efficiently. This software can provide tools for tracking client information, managing documents, and scheduling appointments. Examples of case management software used by legal aid providers include LegalServer (LegalServer, n.d.), Legal aid Online (Legal aid Online, n.d.), CLIO (CLIO, n.d.), Pro Bono Net (Pro Bono Net, n.d.-a), and Legal Aid Management Information System (LAMIS, n.d.).

By adopting these technological advancements, legal aid providers can increase their efficiency and reach, providing better and more accessible services to individuals needing legal assistance. Furthermore, these innovations can help bridge the gap between the demand for and the supply of legal aid services, ensuring that more people can access justice and receive the support they require.

Continued research and development in legal technology will likely lead to even more advanced tools and solutions, further enhancing the ability of legal aid providers to serve their clients effectively. In addition, a collaboration between legal aid organizations, governments, technology companies, and educational institutions can drive innovation and ensure that technology continues transforming the legal aid landscape.

4. Pro Bono Services

Pro bono legal services can be valuable for individuals who cannot afford traditional legal services (Rhode, 2005). Innovations in pro bono delivery can include programs that match lawyers with clients based on their areas of expertise, geographic location, and availability. Online platforms can facilitate these matches, making it easier for lawyers to find pro bono opportunities that fit their schedules and interests (American Bar Association, n.d.; LawWorks, 2023; Legal Aid Ontario, n.d.; Pro Bono Net, n.d.-b).

Online matching platforms have become increasingly popular in the legal aid sector, allowing lawyers to connect with clients needing pro bono legal services. For example, Pro Bono Net is a US-based nonprofit organization that provides online tools and resources to support pro bono legal services. Their online platform includes a directory of pro bono opportunities and tools for managing cases and tracking volunteer hours (Pro Bono Net, n.d.-b). Similarly, ABA Free Legal Answers is an online platform operated by the American Bar Association that connects low-income individuals with volunteer lawyers who can provide legal advice and guidance (American Bar Association, n.d.). LawWorks is a UK-based charity that connects volunteer lawyers with individuals and community organizations needing legal assistance. Their online platform includes a directory of pro bono opportunities and tools for managing cases and tracking volunteer hours (LawWorks, 2023). Legal Aid Ontario is a Canadian organization that provides legal aid services to low-income individuals across the province. Their online platform includes a directory of pro bono opportunities and tools for managing cases and tracking volunteer hours (Legal Aid Ontario, n.d.).

Collaborative pro bono models bring together multiple legal aid providers and law firms to offer pro bono services in a coordinated way. For example, the Pro Bono Collaborative in Rhode Island brings legal aid providers, law firms, and law schools to provide pro bono legal services to low-income individuals and families (Rhode Island Bar Association, n.d.). The Access to Justice Foundation is a collaborative initiative that brings together law firms, barristers' chambers, and legal aid providers to raise funds for pro bono legal services (Access to Justice Foundation, n.d.). The Australian Pro Bono Centre is a national organization that promotes pro bono legal work in Australia. The Centre collaborates with law firms, legal aid providers, and other organizations to develop pro bono programs and initiatives (Australian Pro Bono Centre, n.d.). The Canadian Bar Association's Pro Bono Program is a collaborative initiative that brings legal aid providers, law firms, and other organizations to provide pro bono legal services to low-income individuals and families (Canadian Bar Association, n.d.). The Pro Bono Partnership is a collaborative initiative that brings together law firms and legal aid providers to provide pro bono legal services to individuals and

communities in need (Pro Bono Partnership, n.d.). Finally, the Pro Bono Project is a collaborative initiative that brings legal aid providers, law firms, and other organizations to provide pro bono legal services to low-income individuals and families in New Orleans (Pro Bono Project, n.d.).

Pro bono technology platforms like Paladin and JustFix provide tools for legal aid providers to manage and coordinate pro bono legal services. These platforms can help to streamline the pro bono process, from matching clients with lawyers to tracking case progress and outcomes. They can also provide data and analytics on pro bono activity, which can help evaluate the impact of pro bono services and identify areas for improvement (JustFix, n.d.; Paladin, n.d.).

5. Collaborative Funding Models

Collaborative funding models can improve the sustainability and effectiveness of legal aid services by leveraging resources from multiple stakeholders (Massachusetts Executive Office of Administration and Finance, 2014; Social Finance, 2012; UK Government, 2015).

Social Impact Bonds (SIBs) are a form of pay-for-success financing where private investors provide upfront funding for social programs expected to achieve specific outcomes (Massachusetts Executive Office of Administration and Finance, 2014; Social Finance, 2012; UK Government, 2015). SIBs have been used to fund legal aid programs in several jurisdictions, including New York City, Massachusetts, and the United Kingdom (Massachusetts Executive Office of Administration and Finance, 2014; Social Finance, 2012; UK Government, 2015).

Legal aid providers can collaborate with philanthropic organizations, corporations, and law firms to secure funding for legal aid services. For example, Microsoft Corporation partnered with the Legal Services Corporation to create the Legal Services Corporation Technology Initiative (Legal Services Corporation, n.d.-b). The Open Society Foundations provide grants and other support to legal aid organizations worldwide (Open Society Foundations, 2021). The Ford Foundation supports legal aid organizations and initiatives through grants and other forms of support (Ford Foundation, n.d.). The Skoll Foundation provides funding and support for social entrepreneurs and organizations working to solve some of the world's most pressing problems, including access to justice (Skoll Foundation, n.d.). The Law Foundation of Ontario grants and supports legal aid organizations in Ontario, Canada (Law Foundation of Ontario, n.d.). The Public Welfare Foundation provides funding and support to organizations working to advance justice and opportunity for needy people (Public Welfare Foundation, n.d.).

Crowdfunding platforms can be used to raise funds for legal aid services from many individual donors (Tomlinson, 2018). For example, CrowdJustice is a UK-based crowdfunding platform that allows individuals and organizations to

fund legal cases and campaigns (CrowdJustice, n.d.). LaunchGood is a crowdfunding platform focusing on funding projects and causes within the Muslim community (LaunchGood, n.d.). Global Giving is a crowdfunding platform that supports various causes worldwide, including legal aid (GlobalGiving, n.d.). FundRazr is a global crowdfunding platform that supports a variety of causes, including legal aid (FundRazr, n.d.).

Legal aid providers can apply for government grants to support their services (Cohen, 2013). In some cases, government agencies may collaborate with legal aid providers to develop and implement legal aid programs (California Office of Emergency Services, n.d.). Legal aid providers can collaborate to share administrative and support services, reducing costs and improving efficiency (Community Law Partnership, n.d.; Legal Aid Society of New York, n.d.; Legal Services Society, n.d.; South African Legal Aid Board, n.d.).

Legal aid organizations can also partner with universities and law schools, providing students with opportunities to gain practical experience while offering legal services to the community (American Bar Association, n.d.). Through clinical programs, students can work under the supervision of experienced attorneys, offering legal assistance in various areas, such as civil rights, housing, and immigration law (Harvard Law School, n.d.; University of Michigan Law School, n.d.).

Moreover, legal aid providers can collaborate with community organizations and nonprofits to increase their reach and impact (National Legal Aid & Defender Association, n.d.). By partnering with organizations that serve low-income and marginalized communities, legal aid providers can enhance access to legal services, build trust within the community, and address systemic barriers to justice (National Legal Aid & Defender Association, n.d.).

Various funding sources and collaborative models can be employed to support legal aid services. By leveraging crowdfunding platforms, government grants, pro bono services, law school clinical programs, and community partnerships, legal aid providers can improve the sustainability and effectiveness of their services, ensuring access to justice for those who need it most.

6. Legal Aid Delivery in the COVID-19 Pandemic Context

The COVID-19 pandemic has significantly impacted the delivery of legal aid services globally (Sourdin, Li, & McNamara, 2020). Many courts have closed or reduced their operations to slow the spread of the virus (World Justice Project, 2020). This has led to delays and backlogs in court cases, including those involving legal aid clients. In some jurisdictions, remote hearings have been introduced to mitigate these delays, but this has also presented new challenges for legal aid providers who may not have the technology or resources to participate in virtual court proceedings (Sourdin et al., 2020).

The pandemic has led to a surge in demand for legal aid services, particularly in areas such as housing, employment, and domestic violence (Sourdin et al., 2020). Many individuals and families have been impacted by the economic and social effects of the pandemic and have found themselves in need of legal assistance.

Legal aid providers have faced funding challenges due to the economic downturn caused by the pandemic (Sourdin et al., 2020). Governments and philanthropic organizations that fund legal aid programs may have fewer resources available, and legal aid providers may need to compete for limited resources.

Legal aid providers have had to innovate to continue providing services during the pandemic. This has included the use of remote technology for client intake and consultations and the development of online legal resources and self-help tools (Sourdin et al., 2020). Some legal aid providers have also partnered with other organizations, such as food banks and healthcare providers, to provide wraparound services for clients in need.

Legal aid providers have also been involved in advocacy and policy work related to the pandemic. This has included efforts to ensure that government relief programs are accessible to all individuals and families and to protect the rights of vulnerable populations such as immigrants and prisoners (Sourdin et al., 2020).

Examples of legal aid initiatives during the pandemic include the Law Society in the United Kingdom creating a pro bono platform to connect lawyers with individuals and small businesses affected by COVID-19 (The Law Society, 2020). The platform also provided resources and guidance to help lawyers provide remote legal assistance.

In India, the Ministry of Law and Justice launched a tele-law service to provide legal advice and assistance to rural and remote communities during the pandemic (Ministry of Law and Justice, 2020). The service was delivered through a network of Common Service Centers and trained volunteers.

In the United States, the Legal Services Corporation launched the COVID-19 Pro Bono Portal, which provides a centralized location for lawyers to find pro bono opportunities related to COVID-19 (Legal Services Corporation, 2020). The portal also includes resources and guidance to help lawyers provide remote legal assistance.

In Canada, the Ontario Bar Association launched a COVID-19 Legal Helpline to provide free legal advice to individuals and small businesses affected by the pandemic (Ontario Bar Association, 2020). The helpline was staffed by volunteer lawyers and law students.

In Australia, the National Association of Community Legal Centres launched a COVID-19 Response and Recovery Plan to support the delivery of legal aid services during the pandemic (National Association of Community

Legal Centres, 2020). The plan included funding for technology and other resources to enable remote legal assistance.

In South Africa, Legal Aid South Africa launched a mobile legal office to provide legal assistance to vulnerable communities during the pandemic (Legal Aid South Africa, 2020). The mobile office was staffed by legal aid attorneys and traveled to areas with limited access to legal services.

In Brazil, the Public Defender's Office launched a virtual legal aid platform to provide remote legal assistance during the pandemic (Public Defender's Office, 2020). The platform includes a chatbot to help individuals identify legal issues and connect them with a public defender.

In Pakistan, the Lahore High Court launched a free legal aid service for individuals affected by the pandemic (Lahore High Court, 2020). The service provided legal assistance for issues related to employment, housing, and access to healthcare.

It is crucial to mention that the COVID-19 pandemic has accelerated the adoption of technology and innovative solutions in the legal aid sector (Sourdin et al., 2020). This shift is essential for enhancing the efficiency and accessibility of legal aid services during and after the pandemic.

7. Conclusion

The delivery of legal aid is a critical issue that affects many individuals and communities worldwide. This paper has explored various strategies and technologies that can be used to improve legal aid delivery, including technology, pro bono services, and collaborative funding models.

The literature review and comparative analysis have demonstrated many similarities and differences in legal aid delivery strategies and technologies used in different countries. However, the case studies have shown that successfully implementing these strategies depends on various factors, including the political, social, and economic context.

Furthermore, the study has highlighted the importance of collaboration and partnership between legal aid providers, philanthropic organizations, corporations, and government agencies to improve the sustainability and effectiveness of legal aid services.

Based on the findings of this study, the following recommendations are suggested for legal aid providers, policymakers, and other stakeholders:

- *Utilize Technology*: Legal aid providers should invest in technology to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of legal aid services. This includes the development of online platforms, mobile applications, and other digital tools to increase access to legal aid services.
- *Expand Pro Bono Services*: Legal aid providers should work with law firms, corporations, and other organizations to expand pro bono services.

This will increase the availability of legal aid services to low-income individuals and communities.

- *Collaborate and Leverage Resources*: Legal aid providers should collaborate with philanthropic organizations, corporations, and government agencies to leverage resources and improve the sustainability of legal aid services. This includes using social impact bonds, crowdfunding, and other collaborative funding models.
- *Develop Multidisciplinary Approaches*: Legal aid providers should develop multidisciplinary approaches to legal aid delivery that integrate social services, such as housing and healthcare, with legal services. This will address the root causes of legal problems and improve the overall well-being of individuals and communities.
- *Monitor and Evaluate Outcomes*: Legal aid providers should monitor and evaluate the outcomes of legal aid services to ensure that they meet clients' needs and achieve desired outcomes. This will allow for continuous improvement and the development of evidence-based practices.

Overall, implementing these recommendations will help improve the delivery of legal aid services, increase access to justice, and promote social justice and equality.

References

- Access to Justice Foundation. (n.d.). *About us*. Retrieved from <https://www.atjf.org.uk/about-us/>
- Albiston, C. R., & Sandefur, R. L. (2013). Expanding the empirical study of access to justice. *Wis. L. Rev.*, 101.
- American Bar Association. (n.d.). *Free Legal Help*. Retrieved from https://www.americanbar.org/groups/legal_services/flh-home/
- Australian Pro Bono Centre. (n.d.). *About us*. Retrieved from <https://www.probonocentre.org.au/about-us/>
- Avvo. (n.d.). *Avvo*. Retrieved from <https://www.avvo.com/>
- Brescia, R. H., McCarthy, W., McDonald, A., Potts, K., & Rivais, C. (2014). Embracing disruption: How technological change in the delivery of legal services can improve access to justice. *Alb. L. Rev.*, 78, 553.
- British Columbia government. *MyLawBC*. Retrieved from <https://mylawbc.com/>
- Browder, J. (n.d.). *DoNotPay*. Retrieved from <https://www.donotpay.com/>
- California Office of Emergency Services. (n.d.). *Legal Assistance for Disaster Survivors*. Retrieved from <https://www.caloes.ca.gov/cal-oes-divisions/recovery/disaster-assistance/legal-assistance-for-disaster-survivors>
- Canadian Bar Association. (n.d.). *Pro Bono Initiatives*. Retrieved from <https://www.cba.org/For-The-Public/Pro-Bono-Initiatives>

- Centre for Public Legal Education Alberta. (n.a.). *Centre for Public Legal Education Alberta*. Retrieved from <https://www.cplea.ca/>
- Citizens Advice. (n.d.). *Citizens Advice*. Retrieved from <https://www.citizensadvice.org.uk/>
- CLIO. (n.d.). *CLIO*. Retrieved from <https://www.clio.com/>
- Cohen, I. G. (2013). *Rationing legal services*. *Journal of Legal Analysis*, 5(1), 221-307.
- Community Law. (n.d.). *Community Law Manual*. Retrieved from <https://communitylaw.org.nz/community-law-manual/>
- Community Law Partnership. (n.d.). *About us*. Retrieved from <https://www.communitylawpartnership.co.uk>
- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2017). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches*: Sage publications.
- CrowdJustice. (n.d.). *How It Works*. Retrieved from <https://www.crowdjustice.com/how-it-works/>
- Ford Foundation. (n.d.). *About Ford*. Retrieved from <https://www.fordfoundation.org/about/about-ford/>
- FundRazr. (n.d.). *How It Works?* Retrieved from <https://fundrazr.com/pages/how-it-works>
- GlobalGiving. (n.d.). *About Us*. Retrieved from <https://www.globalgiving.org>
- Harvard Law School. (n.d.). *Legal Clinics*. Retrieved from <https://hls.harvard.edu/dept/academics/clinical/clinics/>
- Hong Kong Federation of Youth Groups. (n.a.). *Legal Rights Handbook*. Retrieved from <https://www.hkfyg.org.hk/en/>
- Infoxchange. (n.d.). *Ask Izzy*. Retrieved from <https://askizzy.org.au/>
- JustFix. (n.d.). *What We Do*. Retrieved from <https://www.justfix.org/en/our-mission/>
- Lahore High Court. (2020). *Free Legal Aid for COVID-19 Affected*. Retrieved from <http://www.lhc.gov.pk/>
- LAMIS. (n.d.). *Legal Aid Management Information System*. Retrieved from <https://lamis.ng/>
- LaunchGood. (n.d.). *How It Works*. Retrieved from <https://www.launchgood.com/how-it-works>
- Law Foundation of Ontario. (n.d.). *About us*. Retrieved from <https://lawfoundation.on.ca/about-us/>
- LawAccess NSW. (n.d.). *LawAccess NSW*. Retrieved from <https://www.lawaccess.nsw.gov.au/>
- LawWorks. (2023). *Free legal assistance*. Retrieved from <https://www.lawworks.org.uk/legal-advice-not-profits/free-legal-assistance>

- Lawyers Without Borders. (n.d.). *Lawyers Without Borders*. Retrieved from <https://www.lwob.org/>
- Legal aid Online. (n.d.). *Legal aid Online*. Retrieved from <https://www.slabb.org.uk/>
- Legal Aid Ontario. (n.d.). *Legal Aid Ontario*. Retrieved from <https://www.legalaid.on.ca/>
- Legal Aid Queensland. (n.d.). *Legal Aid Queensland*. Retrieved from <https://www.legalaid.qld.gov.au/>
- Legal Aid Society of Hawaii. (n.d.). *Legal Aid Society of Hawaii*. Retrieved from <https://www.legalaidhawaii.org/>
- Legal Aid Society of New York. (n.d.). *Legal Aid Society of New York*. Retrieved from <https://www.legalaidnyc.org/>
- Legal Aid South Africa. (2020). *Mobile Legal Office Launched*. Retrieved from <https://www.legal-aid.co.za/mobile-legal-office-launched/>
- Legal Aid South Africa. (n.d.). *Legal Aid South Africa*. Retrieved from <https://www.legal-aid.co.za/>
- Legal Robot. (n.d.). *Legal Robot*. Retrieved from <https://www.legalrobot.com/>
- Legal Services Commission of South Australia. (n.d.). *LegalAidSA*. Retrieved from <https://lsc.sa.gov.au/>
- Legal Services Corporation. (2020). *COVID-19 Pro Bono Portal*. Retrieved from <https://covid19.probono.lsc.gov/>
- Legal Services Corporation. (n.d.-a). *LawHelp.org*. Retrieved from <https://www.lawhelp.org/>
- Legal Services Corporation. (n.d.-b). *Legal Services Corporation Technology Initiative*. Retrieved from <https://www.lsc.gov/technology-initiative-grant-tig-program>
- Legal Services Society. (n.d.). *Legal aid services*. Retrieved from https://legalaid.bc.ca/legal_aid
- LegalServer. (n.d.). *LegalServer*. Retrieved from <https://www.legalserver.org/>
- Massachusetts Executive Office of Administration and Finance. (2014). *Massachusetts Juvenile Justice Pay for Success Initiative*. Retrieved from <https://www.mass.gov/info-details/massachusetts-juvenile-justice-pay-for-success-initiative>
- Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (1994). *Qualitative data analysis: An expanded sourcebook*: Sage.
- Ministry of Law and Justice. (2020). *Tele-Law Initiative*. Retrieved from <https://doj.gov.in/page/tele-law>
- National Association of Community Legal Centres. (2020). *COVID-19 Response and Recovery Plan*. Retrieved from <https://www.naclc.org.au/covid-19-response-and-recovery-plan/>

- National Legal Aid & Defender Association. (n.d.). *Community Partnerships*. Retrieved from <https://www.nlada.org/our-work/community-partnerships>
- Ontario Bar Association. (2020). *COVID-19 Legal Helpline*. Retrieved from <https://www.oba.org/COVID19>
- Open Society Foundations. (2021). *Making the Law Work for People*. Retrieved from <https://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/publications/making-the-law-work-for-people>
- Paladin. (n.d.). *About us*. Retrieved from <https://www.joinpaladin.com/about-us/>
- Pro Bono Net. (n.d.-a). *Pro Bono Net*. Retrieved from <https://www.probono.net/>
- Pro Bono Net. (n.d.-b). *Who we are*. Retrieved from https://www.probono.net/about_us/
- Pro Bono Partnership. (n.d.). *About us*. Retrieved from <https://www.probonopartner.org/about-us/>
- Pro Bono Project. (n.d.). *Ho to get help*. Retrieved from <http://probono-no.org/how-to-get-help>
- Public Defender's Office. (2020). *Virtual Legal Aid Platform*. Retrieved from <https://www.defensoria.sp.def.br/dpesp/Default.aspx?idPagina=5714>
- Public Welfare Foundation. (n.d.). *Our Work*. Retrieved from <https://www.publicwelfare.org/our-work/>
- Rhode, D. L. (2005). *Pro bono in principle and in practice: Public service and the professions*: Stanford University Press.
- Rhode Island Bar Association. (n.d.). *Pro bono collaborative*. Retrieved from <https://www.ribar.com/For%20the%20Public/Pro%20Bono%20Collaborative.aspx>
- Skoll Foundation. (n.d.). *Powering Social Innovators to Transform Our World*. Retrieved from <https://skoll.org/about/>
- Social Finance. (2012). *New York City's Social Impact Bond*. Retrieved from <https://socialfinance.org/resource/new-york-citys-social-impact-bond/>
- Sourdin, T., Li, B., & McNamara, D. M. (2020). Court innovations and access to justice in times of crisis. *Health Policy and Technology*, 9(4), 447-453.
- South African Legal Aid Board. (n.d.). *How it works*. Retrieved from <https://legal-aid.co.za/how-it-works/>
- Susskind, R. (2023). *Tomorrow's lawyers: An introduction to your future*: Oxford University Press.
- The Law Society. (2020). *Pro Bono Platform for COVID-19*. Retrieved from <https://www.lawsociety.org.uk/support-services/coronavirus/pro-bono-platform-for-covid-19/>
- Tomlinson, J. (2018). Crowdfunding public interest judicial reviews: A risky new resource and the case for a practical ethics. *Public Law* (Forthcoming).

- UK Government. (2015). *Fair Chance Fund: Social Impact Bond*. Retrieved from <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/fair-chance-fund-social-impact-bond>
- United Nations Development Programme. (n.a.). JusticeHub. Retrieved from <https://www.undp.org/>
- University of Cambridge. (n.d.). *LawBot*. Retrieved from <https://www.cam.ac.uk/>
- University of Michigan Law School. (n.d.). *Clinical Law Program*. Retrieved from <https://www.law.umich.edu/clinical/Pages/default.aspx>
- World Justice Project. (2020). *Global Insights on Access to Justice 2020*. Retrieved from <https://worldjusticeproject.org/our-work/research-and-data/global-insights-access-justice-2020>
- Yin, R. K. (2003). Case study research design and methods third edition. *Applied Social Research Methods Series, 5*.