DOI: https://doi.org/10.53486/sstc2026.v3.73
CZU: [336.227.2:332.122.6]:339.9

PANAMA PAPERS: IMPACT ON THE GLOBAL ECONOMY AND TAX ETHICS

PANAMA PAPERS: IMPACTUL ASUPRA ECONOMIEI GLOBALE ȘI ETICII FISCALE

ROȘIOR Sorin, masterand, specialitatea: TIDE
ORCID: 0009-0006-7558-8082
Academia de Studii Economice din Moldova,
MD-2005, Chișinău, Str. Bănulescu-Bodoni 61
Telefon: +373 69999278
e-mail: sorin.rosior@ase.md

Abstract: *Scurgerea de informații din 2016 cunoscută sub denumirea de Panama Papers a dezvăluit un sistem global complex de structuri offshore utilizate pentru evaziunea fiscală și minimizarea obligațiilor fiscale. Prezenta lucrare analizează impactul Panama Papers asupra economiei globale și eticii fiscale, cu accent pe consecințele disproporționate asupra țărilor în curs de dezvoltare. Studiul examinează, de asemenea, modul în care evaziunea fiscală distorsionează concurența pe piață, acordând avantaje competitive nejustificate corporațiilor multinaționale față de firmele naționale transparente, și evaluează eficacitatea reformelor internaționale post-2016, inclusiv Inițiativele privind Eroziunea bazei impozabile(BEPS) și transferul profiturilor ale Organizației pentru Cooperare și Dezvoltare Economică(OECD). Cercetarea evidențiază că pierderile fiscale estimate la nivel global se situează între 190 și 600 de miliarde de dolari anual, cu un impact procentual semnificativ mai mare în economiile emergente (5-10% din PIB) față de economiile dezvoltate (1-2% din PIB). Analiza confirmă că, deși reformele adoptate reprezintă progrese reale, sistemul offshore rămâne în mare măsură funcțional, adaptându-se la noul mediu de reglementare. Metodologia utilizată are un caracter mixt, îmbinând analiza cantitativă a datelor provenite din baze de date internaționale cu abordări calitative bazate pe studii de caz.*

Cuvinte cheie: *Panama Papers, evaziune fiscală, paradisuri fiscale, BEPS , concurență fiscală, reforme internaționale*
JEL CLASSIFICATION: H26, F38, H87, D63, K34

INTRODUCTION

The year 2016 marked a turning point in public understanding of offshore financial systems through the publication of what the International Consortium of Investigative Journalists reported as 11.5 million documents known as the Panama Papers. This massive leak of information, originating from the Panamanian legal services firm Mossack Fonseca, exposed a complex global network of offshore structures facilitating tax evasion and avoidance of fiscal obligations on an unprecedented scale. According to investigations published by The Guardian and other media outlets, the documents revealed the existence of over 214,000 offshore entities linked to political leaders, multinational corporations, and high-net-worth individuals from more than seventy countries. The impact of these revelations was immediate and profound, generating resignations of political leaders, criminal investigations, and intense debate about tax ethics at a global level. However, beyond the initial media scandal, a fundamental question remains: have these disclosures led to significant structural changes in the global financial system, or have they represented merely a temporary disruption in a deeply entrenched system of tax avoidance?

The central research problem that this study addresses focuses on identifying and analysing systemic weaknesses in the global economy that allow multinational corporations and high-net-worth individuals to utilize offshore financial structures to minimize tax obligations. Although the Panama Papers leak represented the largest financial document leak in history at that time, exposing practices that generated estimated fiscal losses between $190 and $600 billion annually at the global level, the structural conditions facilitating large-scale tax evasion remain insufficiently addressed (Cobham &

Janský, 2018). This problem is particularly acute in the context of developing countries, where the disproportionate burden of fiscal losses is not fully understood or recognized in international debates.

The the purpose of this research is to analyse how offshore structures and tax evasion practices revealed by the Panama Papers affect global economic development, with particular emphasis on their disproportionate impact on developing countries. The objectives include: quantifying fiscal revenue losses and comparing their effects between developed and developing economies; examining mechanisms through which tax avoidance distorts competition and increases inequality; evaluating the effectiveness of international reforms such as the OECD's BEPS initiatives; assessing the ethical implications of tax practices; and proposing evidence-based policy recommendations.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Academic literature on offshore tax evasion has grown substantially following the Panama Papers revelations. Zucman (2015) provided foundational analysis of tax havens, estimating that approximately 8 percent of global household financial wealth (roughly $8.7 trillion) is held in tax havens, with profound implications for global inequality. Alstadsæter, Johannesen, and Zucman (2018) extended this analysis, demonstrating that offshore wealth is highly concentrated among the very wealthy, with the top 0.01 percent holding a disproportionate share of assets in tax havens.

Cobham and Janský (2018) provided comprehensive estimates of global revenue losses from corporate tax avoidance, finding that developing countries suffer disproportionately large losses relative to GDP compared to developed economies. Crivelli, de Mooij, and Keen (2016) further corroborated this finding, showing that developing nations lose a higher percentage of corporate tax revenue to base erosion and profit shifting. The OECD's BEPS project documentation (2015) established that approximately 40 percent of multinational corporate profits are artificially shifted to low-tax jurisdictions annually, representing over $1 trillion in profit shifting. Johannesen and Zucman (2014) evaluated the effectiveness of the G20 tax haven crackdown prior to the Panama Papers, finding limited effectiveness in actually reducing offshore wealth. Saez and Zucman (2019) contributed an ethical and policy perspective, arguing that offshore tax evasion represents a fundamental challenge to democratic governance and social equity. The Edelman Trust Barometer (2017) and Transparency International (2017) provided empirical data on the erosion of institutional trust following the Panama Papers revelations.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The adopted research methodology is mixed, combining quantitative analyses with qualitative case studies to obtain a comprehensive understanding of the phenomenon. The study utilizes data from the ICIJ Panama Papers database, as well as data from international organizations such as the IMF, OECD, World Bank, UNCTAD, and Tax Justice Network. Public trust indicators are obtained from Edelman Trust Barometer, Transparency International, and World Values Survey.

The research methods include: descriptive analysis to present fundamental data characteristics; comparative analysis between developed and developing economies to identify systematic differences; and analysis through the examination of relevant documents, reports, and official records associated with the Panama Papers case.

Key hypotheses tested include: (H1) offshore tax avoidance generates revenue losses that disproportionately limit developing countries' capacity to finance public services; (H2) systematic tax evasion erodes the social contract and reduces trust in institutions; (H3) despite reforms after 2016, the offshore financial system remains largely intact; (H4) tax competition and profit shifting distort markets, favoring multinationals over transparent domestic firms.

RESULTS

Analysis of data from the Panama Papers reveals that the scale of global fiscal losses is dramatic, with estimates ranging between $190 and $600 billion annually. This wide range reflects the inherent uncertainty in measuring hidden financial flows, but even the conservative estimate represents a colossal sum exceeding the GDP of many developing countries. However, the impact of these fiscal losses is not uniformly distributed among countries. Developing countries bear a

disproportionate burden relative to the size of their economies, with estimated losses ranging between 5 and 10 percent of GDP compared to 1 to 2 percent for developed economies (Crivelli, de Mooij & Keen, 2016).

Table 1. Distribution of Fiscal Losses by Income Level

Country Income Level	Average GDP Loss (%)	Estimated Annual Loss (USD billions)	Impact on Public Services
High-income countries	1.3	105-140	Moderate - can be partially offset
Upper-middle income	2.8	45-75	Significant - affects infrastructure
Lower-middle income	4.7	30-55	Severe - limits basic services
Low-income countries	6.5	10-30	Critical - undermines development

Source: Developed by the author based on Tax Justice Network Report(2016-2020)

Beyond the direct fiscal impact, offshore structures revealed by the Panama Papers demonstrate how tax avoidance fundamentally distorts market competition. Multinational corporations using sophisticated offshore structures to shift profits to low-tax jurisdictions benefit from effective tax rates significantly lower than their domestic competitors. Research indicates that large multinationals pay on average effective tax rates 10 to 15 percentage points lower than comparable domestic firms (OECD, 2015). This competitive asymmetry places domestic businesses at a structural disadvantage, as they bear the full burden of national taxation while competing against multinationals that artificially reduce their tax liabilities. Over time, this imbalance undermines investment incentives for local firms, distorts pricing mechanisms, and contributes to market concentration in favor of large multinational enterprises.

Table 2. Effective Tax Rates: Multinationals vs. Domestic Firms

Industry Sector	Multinational Effective Rate (%)	Domestic Firm Effective Rate (%)	Competitive Advantage
Technology	8.5	21.3	12.8 percentage points
Pharmaceuticals	11.2	24.7	13.5 percentage points
Financial Services	13.8	22.9	9.1 percentage points
Consulting	9.7	23.4	13.7 percentage points
Manufacturing	15.3	25.1	9.8 percentage points

Source: Developed by the author based on OECD BEPS Data (2015-2018)

The impact on economic inequality is profound and multidimensional. Data from the Panama Papers confirmed that the main users of offshore structures are those at the top of the wealth distribution. Research by Zucman and Saez demonstrates that when hidden offshore wealth is considered, wealth concentration at the top of the distribution is substantially higher than official statistics suggest, with approximately 8 percent of global household financial wealth held in tax havens, representing approximately $8.7 trillion (Zucman, 2015; Alstadsæter et al., 2018). This

phenomenon directly undermines redistributive fiscal policies, as governments lose significant tax revenues that could otherwise fund public services, social transfers, and infrastructure. Consequently, the tax burden shifts disproportionately onto middle and lower-income households, who lack access to sophisticated offshore planning mechanisms, thereby reinforcing and accelerating existing inequalities in both wealth and income distribution.

Table 3. Offshore Wealth Distribution and Inequality Indicators

Region	Estimated Offshore Wealth (USD trillion)	% of Regional GDP	Gini (official)	Gini (adjusted)	Difference
North America	2.8	13	0.41	0.47	+0.06
Europe	2.6	14	0.31	0.36	+0.05
Asia-Pacific	2.1	8	0.38	0.43	+0.05
Latin America	0.8	16	0.47	0.54	+0.07
Africa	0.4	18	0.44	0.52	+0.08

Source: Developed by the author based on Zucman (2015), Alstadsæter et al. (2018).

Regarding the international response, the OECD accelerated implementation of the BEPS project, introducing fifteen actions designed to close loopholes in the international tax system. More than 135 countries have signed the BEPS Inclusive Framework, committing to implement these minimum standards. Automatic exchange of tax information has been significantly expanded through the OECD's Common Reporting Standard, with over 100 jurisdictions participating by 2023 (Johannesen & Zucman, 2014). However, evidence suggests that the offshore system remains largely intact, as demonstrated by subsequent leaks, the Paradise Papers in 2017 and Pandora Papers in 2021, which revealed persistent and extensive use of offshore structures by the global elite.

The social and political consequences of systematic tax evasion extend beyond economic statistics to affect the foundations of the social contract and institutional trust. Data from the Edelman Trust Barometer show a significant decline in trust in institutions in the years following the Panama Papers revelations, especially in countries where political leaders were implicated (Edelman Trust Barometer, 2017). In Iceland, where the prime minister resigned, public trust in government fell by approximately 15 percentage points. Transparency International surveys show that more than 70 percent of respondents globally consider offshore tax evasion to be morally wrong, even when technically legal (Transparency International, 2017).

CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

The analysis of the Panama Papers' impact on the global economy and tax ethics confirms the four main hypotheses of this research. Hypothesis H1 is robustly validated: offshore tax avoidance generates revenue losses that disproportionately limit developing countries' capacity to finance public services, with losses of 5-10% of GDP in emerging economies compared to 1-2% in developed economies. Hypothesis H2 is strongly supported: systematic tax evasion erodes the social contract, increases inequality, and reduces institutional trust. Hypothesis H3 is confirmed in essence: despite significant post-2016 reforms, the offshore financial system remains largely intact and functional. Hypothesis H4 is robustly validated: tax competition and profit shifting distort markets, favoring multinationals over transparent domestic firms by 10-15 percentage points in effective tax rates.

Based on these findings, the following recommendations are proposed: (1) Fundamental rethinking of multinational corporation taxation, moving toward formulary apportionment or unitary taxation; (2) Strengthening of administrative capacities in developing countries through technical assistance and information sharing; (3) Mandatory public registers of beneficial owners as global

standard, covering corporations, trusts, and foundations; (4) Shift in corporate culture toward broader stakeholder responsibility; (5) Maintaining public pressure through investigative journalism and civil society engagement. The global minimum corporate tax rate of 15 percent represents a step in the right direction, but the rate is likely too low to completely eliminate profit-shifting incentives.

REFERENCES

1. Alstadsæter, A., Johannesen, N., & Zucman, G. (2018). Who owns the wealth in tax havens? Macro evidence and implications for global inequality. Journal of Public Economics, 162, 89-100.
2. Cobham, A., & Janský, P. (2018). Global distribution of revenue loss from corporate tax avoidance: Re-estimation and country results. Journal of International Development, 30(2), 206-232.
3. Crivelli, E., de Mooij, R., & Keen, M. (2016). Base erosion, profit shifting and developing countries. FinanzArchiv: Public Finance Analysis, 72(3), 268-301.
4. Edelman Trust Barometer. (2017). 2017 Edelman Trust Barometer: Global Report. Edelman.
5. International Consortium of Investigative Journalists. (2016). The Panama Papers: Exposing the rogue offshore finance industry. ICIJ.
6. Johannesen, N., & Zucman, G. (2014). The end of bank secrecy? An evaluation of the G20 tax haven crackdown. American Economic Journal: Economic Policy, 6(1), 65-91.
7. OECD. (2015). *Measuring and monitoring BEPS, Action 11 - 2015 final report, OECD/G20 base erosion and profit shifting project*. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264241343-en
8. Saez, E., & Zucman, G. (2019). The triumph of injustice: How the rich dodge taxes and how to make them pay. W.W. Norton & Company.
9. Tax Justice Network, The State of Tax Justice 2020: Tax Justice in the Time of COVID-19 (London: Tax Justice Network, noiembrie 2020), https://taxjustice.net/wpcontent/uploads/2020/11/The_State_of_Tax_Justice_2020_ENGLISH.pdf.
10. Transparency International. (2017). Corruption perceptions index 2016. Transparency International.
11. Zucman, G. (2015). The hidden wealth of nations: The scourge of tax havens. University of Chicago Press.

Coordonator științific: ȘIȘCAN Zorina, dr.hab., prof.univ.
ORCID: 0000-0003-2158-7659
Academia de Studii Economice din Moldova, MD-2005,
Chișinău, Str. Bănulescu-Bodoni 61
e-mail: shishcan.zorina@ase.md