

MOLDOVA'S GREEN FINANCE CONVERGENCE: REGULATION AND INVESTMENT

UDC: [336.7:061.1EU]:[504.06+551.583](478)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.53486/mfsne2025.01>

Ludmila COBZARI

PhD habil., professor

Academy of Economic Studies of Moldova, Moldova

ORCID: [0000-0001-7108-2670](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7108-2670)

Email: cobzari.ludmila@ase.md

Andrei PASLARI

PhD Student

Bucuresti, România

ORCID: [0000-0001-9426-4231](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9426-4231)

E-mail: andrei.paslari@gmail.com

Abstract: *The Republic of Moldova is currently navigating a complex transformation of its financial architecture, driven by the dual imperatives of national sustainability objectives and European Union (EU) accession. This study analyzes the harmonization of Moldova's financial sector with EU standards, focusing specifically on the National Bank of Moldova's (NBM) Sustainable Finance Roadmap (2024–2028) and the critical role of International Financial Institutions (IFIs) in de-risking private capital. We examine the structural shift from a conservative, liquidity-rich banking sector toward active green lending, evidenced by a 127% growth in specific green portfolios between 2022 and 2025. The analysis identifies key structural impediments—primarily administrative capacity deficits and energy storage limitations—and argues that despite regulatory progress, the €30 billion investment gap required for the Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC 3.0) cannot be closed without sovereign intervention in physical infrastructure.*

Keywords: *green finance, sustainable finance, climate risk.*

JEL Classification: *G28, Q54, F35.*

INTRODUCTION

For decades, the financial stability framework of the Republic of Moldova, governed by the National Bank of Moldova (NBM), adhered to a traditional "market-neutral" mandate focused strictly on price stability. That paradigm is shifting now and involves a broader integration of climate resilience into central banking, a move necessitated not by aspirational policy but by severe macroeconomic and environmental vulnerabilities.

The theoretical basis for this shift lies in the recognition that climate change is a source of financial instability. Climate-related disasters, costing an estimated 1.3% of GDP annually, threaten borrower solvency and banking system stability. Consequently, the formal integration of climate resilience into the stability mandate has become an economic imperative rather than an elective strategy [9].

Moldova's commitment under the Paris Agreement is robust, formalized in its updated Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC 3.0), which targets a greenhouse gas emission reduction of over 70 percent by 2030 [2]. Achieving this objective requires a substantial capital injection, estimated at over €30 billion [8]. However, mobilizing this capital faces a structural hurdle: Moldova's financial sector is dominated by banking assets (87% of the sector), yet these institutions have historically exhibited a high "liquidity preference," allocating over 35% of assets to low-risk government securities rather than private sector lending [7]. The challenge, therefore, is to alter the

transmission mechanism of monetary policy so that liquidity flows toward sustainable capital formation rather than sovereign debt recycling.

MACROECONOMIC CONTEXT AND FINANCIAL STRUCTURE

The macroeconomic environment in Moldova remains highly sensitive to geopolitical volatility. The 2022 invasion of Ukraine precipitated significant economic disruption, characterized by supply chain shocks and persistent double-digit inflation [11]. Despite an expanded program from the International Monetary Fund (IMF), economic recovery has been protracted, with growth registering a marginal 0.1 percent in 2024 [11].

A significant structural impediment to investment is the financing gap for micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs), estimated at 14 percent of GDP [12]. This credit rationing is reinforced by the conservative asset allocation of domestic banks. Despite ample liquidity—well above regulatory requirements, their preference for sovereign securities limits the flow of funding to bankable green projects. Government securities carry a zero-risk weight and offer high yields in an inflationary environment, whereas lending to the private sector—composed largely of low-productivity micro-enterprises—involves high due diligence costs and significant credit risk. Asymmetric information constrains banks' ability to differentiate between viable green innovations and high-risk ventures without external verification mechanisms [12], and, in response, the government has outlined measures in the Moldova 2030 National Development Strategy to advance a sustainable economy [2].

THE REGULATORY FRAMEWORK: THE NBM ROADMAP

The foundation of Moldova's sustainable finance framework is the *Sustainable Finance Roadmap (2024-2028)*, approved by the NBM Executive Board on May 28, 2024 [4]. This document establishes the central bank's proactive role in mobilizing finance, aligning with commitments made under the Memorandum of Economic and Financial Policies with the IMF [11]. The strategy is operationalized through four interconnected pillars designed to address specific institutional deficits.

Pillar I: Increasing Awareness and Capacity Building addresses the critical "human capital deficit" within the sector. Unlike traditional credit risk assessment, which relies on historical financial data, environmental risk analysis requires forward-looking modeling and climate literacy—competencies historically absent in the Moldovan banking system. Consequently, the NBM has prioritized the institutionalization of internal governance structures and technical training to ensure that both regulators and commercial bankers possess the technical competence to evaluate complex ESG models before strict mandates are enforced.

Pillar II: Sustainable Finance Flows aims to standardize the definition of sustainable activities through a National Sustainable Finance Taxonomy [10]. This is not merely a classification exercise; it is a signaling device. By aligning this taxonomy with the EU Taxonomy Regulation (2020/852), the NBM provides the regulatory certainty required for cross-border capital flows. Crucially, the taxonomy establishes "Technical Screening Criteria" that allow banks to verify if an agricultural loan qualifies as "adaptation finance" (e.g., drought-resistant crops) or merely standard working capital. This distinction is vital for the issuance of credible green bonds [4].

Pillar III: ESG Integration and Risk Management mandates the embedding of climate-related risks—both physical and transition risks—into financial institutions' core risk-assessment frameworks [9]. The NBM is transitioning from qualitative surveys to mandatory quantitative stress testing. This involves modeling hypothetical scenarios, such as the introduction of a carbon border adjustment mechanism by the EU, which would impact the repayment capacity of Moldovan exporters. By forcing banks to quantify these risks within their Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process the regulator effectively increases the cost of capital for "brown" (polluting) assets [3].

Pillar IV: Transparency and Market Discipline includes mandatory ESG disclosures for financial institutions to enforce market discipline [4]. The NBM is working to align these requirements with the International Sustainability Standards Board protocols. However, the efficacy of this pillar is currently constrained by the limited administrative capacity within the NBM, specifically regarding staff experienced in ESG analysis and the lack of a centralized digital data repository [5].

DE-RISKING MECHANISMS AND CAPITAL MOBILIZATION

Due to the conservative nature of the local financial system, Moldova relies on International Financial Institutions (IFIs) to activate the market. Partners such as the EBRD, EIB, and World Bank provide guarantees that "de-risk" lending for local banks, effectively substituting private credit risk with sovereign-backed or AAA-rated security [7].

The primary instrument utilized to operationalize this strategy is **Blended Finance**, which strategically deploys public funds to mitigate the risk profile of private lending. The efficiency of these mechanisms is quantifiable; for instance, the EBRD, backed by the EU's EFSD+ program, has launched portfolio guarantee facilities that cover 50 percent of credit risk on new SME loans [7]. The leverage mechanics of this instrument are significant and function through two distinct channels. First, the IFI guarantee typically acts as a "First-Loss Cover" for a specific tranche of the portfolio. By absorbing the initial tier of default risk, this mechanism reduces the economic capital that the local bank must hold against these loans under Basel III regulations. Second, this regulatory relief generates a potent multiplier effect: analysis indicates a high leverage ratio where, for every €1 of donor guarantee provided, approximately €8 of total capital is mobilized into the real economy [7].

Translating this macro-level efficiency into micro-level impact requires a differentiated approach. Consequently, the strategy deploys the following examples of distinct financial instruments designed to solve the unique structural problems of specific sectors:

- *MSME Collateral Substitution:* EIB Global provided a €50 million loan to *maib*, secured by a European Commission-backed partial guarantee. This mechanism allows the bank to lend to service-based or tech MSMEs that lack tangible collateral (machinery/real estate) by using the EU guarantee as a substitute security [12].
- The *Green Economy Financing Facility*, backed by the EBRD, utilizes Capital Investment Grants. A borrower receives a grant (typically 10-15% of the principal) only *after* independent verification of the energy-efficient installation. This structure incentivizes borrower behavior and ensures the integrity of the green claim [13].
- The Organization for Entrepreneurship Development (ODA) manages a credit guarantee fund for renewable energy producers. This addresses the "maturity mismatch" problem: banks prefer short-term lending (1-3 years), while solar projects require long-term amortization (7-10 years). The guarantee gives banks the confidence to extend loan tenors.

DOMESTIC IMPLEMENTATION OF GREEN FINANCE INSTRUMENTS

The abstract regulatory shifts and international guarantees are translating into tangible changes in the operational behavior of Moldova's domestic financial institutions. The market is witnessing a bifurcation, where "first-mover" banks are rapidly capturing the green market share, effectively creating a new competitive frontier.

Maib, the country's largest bank, has demonstrated a rapid expansion of its sustainable portfolio. By the third quarter of 2024, the bank surpassed MDL 760 million in green loans, with the portfolio exceeding MDL 1.3 billion by the second quarter of 2025—a 127 percent increase since 2022 [12]. This expansion was not accidental but structural; maib developed an internal green taxonomy ahead of the national legislation to guide financing decisions and align with EIB requirements.

Market activity is shifting from simple working capital loans to complex capital expenditure in energy security. Local capacity for project finance is maturing, evidenced by maib's financing of

a 50 MW solar plant in Rădeni scheduled for 2025 [12]. This represents a significant deepening of the financial sector; previously, a project of this scale would have required direct cross-border lending from a development bank.

In the agricultural sector, which is most exposed to physical climate risks, ProCredit Bank has introduced "EcoCredit" products utilizing satellite data analysis. By partnering with ag-tech firms like Farmonaut, the bank can assess credit risk based on historical crop yield data and soil health rather than relying solely on traditional financial statements. This reduces the information asymmetry that typically creates high collateral requirements for farmers.

Complementing banking reforms, preparatory work is underway to integrate the capital market with EU standards. A September 2025 memorandum with the Bucharest Stock Exchange (BVB) aims to establish the infrastructure necessary for future sovereign and corporate green bond issuances. This linkage is critical for providing the long-term liquidity—often 10 to 15-year horizons—that the domestic deposit-based banking system cannot easily supply [14].

STRUCTURAL IMPEDIMENTS: THE INFRASTRUCTURE AND CAPACITY BOTTLENECK

While the regulatory framework accelerates and initial capital deployment is underway, the execution of green finance in Moldova faces a "hard reality" check. The barriers to closing the €30 billion investment gap are no longer purely financial (i.e., lack of liquidity); they have mutated into profound physical, administrative, and structural constraints.

A. The Physical-Technical Barrier

The most acute non-financial systemic risk is the technical limitation of the national energy grid. Financial decarbonization is strictly bounded by physical laws; banks cannot finance energy generation that the grid cannot physically absorb.

- *The Balancing Deficit:* The Moldovan grid lacks domestic flexible generation and battery storage. It relies heavily on the Russian-owned MGRES plant in the Transnistrian region for balancing services. This creates a geopolitical dependency that complicates the risk assessment for long-term infrastructure projects.
- *Curtailment as Credit Risk:* Without Battery Energy Storage Systems, the transmission system operator may be forced to disconnect renewable energy producers during peak generation hours to maintain frequency stability. For a lender, this creates "revenue uncertainty." If a solar plant cannot sell its power due to grid constraints, it cannot service its debt. Consequently, banks view large-scale renewable projects without storage components as inherently unbankable [6], [14].
- *Interconnection Delays:* While interconnection with Romania (and the ENTSO-E system) is progressing, the current cross-border capacity is insufficient to export excess renewable energy, locking producers into a small, easily saturated domestic market.

B. The Administrative Barrier and Human Capital Crisis

While the NBM Roadmap is sophisticated, the administrative apparatus required to execute it is facing a saturation point. The simultaneous demands of EU accession negotiations have strained public sector resources.

- *Specialized Skills Shortage:* There is a chronic "brain drain" of technical talent to the private sector or abroad. The NBM and relevant ministries struggle to recruit staff with dual competency in financial supervision and climate science (e.g., ESG auditing, carbon accounting).
- *Supervisory Lag:* This capacity deficit leads to implementation lags. While primary legislation is passed, the drafting of detailed secondary legislation—such as specific technical screening criteria for niche agricultural sectors—is delayed.
- *The Data Vacuum:* Effective green financing requires historical data to model risk. Moldova lacks a centralized, digital repository of environmental data (e.g., granular flood maps, historical crop yield volatility). Without this data, banks cannot accurately price risk, leading to conservative, high-collateral lending policies [5].

C. Climate losses and Insurance Gaps

Financial policy is currently focused on mitigation (reducing emissions), but the economy is immediately exposed to physical climate risks (droughts, floods).

- **The Solvency Threat:** With the annual funding gap for disaster recovery standing at \$146 million, climate volatility is a direct threat to borrower solvency. A severe drought does not just ruin a harvest; it impairs the non-performing loan ratios of the entire agricultural banking portfolio.
- **The Insurance Void:** Current insurance penetration is too low to act as a shock absorber. This leaves the state budget—and by extension, the sovereign balance sheets the "insurer of last resort."

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The Republic of Moldova has established a comprehensive regulatory pathway, driven by the NBM Sustainable Finance Roadmap, to align its financial sector with sustainability goals [4]. Significant progress is evident in the leveraging of IFI financial instruments to de-risk private capital, creating a nascent but rapidly growing market for green finance [12]. However, the realization of Moldova's extraordinary climate ambition is threatened by structural bottlenecks, particularly administrative capacity constraints and critical infrastructure deficits [1].

To bridge the multi-billion euro investment gap required to achieve NDC 3.0 targets, the following strategic actions are recommended:

1. **Legal Finalization:** The government must finalize and legally implement the National Sustainable Finance Taxonomy. This should be done via primary legislation to ensure regulatory certainty, prevent greenwashing, and facilitate the aggregation of projects for green bond issuance [10].
2. **Mandatory Risk Integration:** The NBM should transition from guidelines to mandates, requiring systemic financial institutions to conduct quantitative climate risk stress tests. This will explicitly quantify systemic risks, forcing institutions to price climate externalities and incentivize capital reallocation away from brown assets [9].
3. **Infrastructure Investment:** Financial mechanisms cannot succeed in isolation. Sovereign resources and grant funding must be prioritized for **battery storage and smart grid technologies**. Resolving the technical barriers to renewable energy absorption is a prerequisite for unlocking private project finance [14].
4. **Capacity Building:** Technical assistance budgets should be front-loaded to establish a dedicated implementation unit within the NBM. This unit should focus specifically on digitalizing ESG data reporting to reduce the compliance burden on banks and improve supervisory visibility [5].
5. **Sovereign Risk Transfer:** Given the low insurance penetration, the state is exposed to unabsorbed climate losses. The Ministry of Finance must explore and establish **sovereign risk transfer mechanisms** (e.g., catastrophe bonds or public-private insurance schemes) to shift the burden of large-scale disaster recovery away from the state budget and secure fiscal stability against physical climate shocks.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Stockholm Environment Institute (SEI). (2025). *Moldova: EU Green Reforms*. <https://www.sei.org/perspectives/moldova-eu-green-reforms/>
2. Government of the Republic of Moldova. (2024). *Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC 3.0)*. https://mediu.gov.md/sites/default/files/Documente%20atasate%20Advance%20Pagines/MD_NDC_3.0_EN_31.12.2024_final.pdf
3. World Bank Group. (2024). *Country Climate and Development Report: Moldova*. <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/server/api/core/bitstreams/268abc8d-8e0e-437b-9615-3fe8aa395693/content>

4. National Bank of Moldova (NBM). (2024). *Sustainable Finance Roadmap (2024-2028)*. https://bnm.md/files/Foia%20de%20parcurs%20pentru%20finan%C8%9Bare%20durabil%C4%83_eng.pdf
5. European Commission. (2025). *Moldova Report 2024*. https://enlargement.ec.europa.eu/document/download/858717b3-f8ef-4514-89fe-54a6aa15ef69_en?filename=Moldova%20Report%202024.pdf
6. Voice of Renewables. (2025). *Deep Dive: Moldova's Energy Independence Driven by Renewables Auction Insights*. <https://voiceofrenewables.com/deep-dive-moldovas-energy-independence-driven-by-renewables-auction-insights/>
7. European Bank for Reconstruction and Development. (2024). *Highlights 2024: Donor Co-Financing Annual Report*. https://www.ebrd.com/content/dam/ebd_dxp/assets/pdfs/donor-co-financing/annual-reports/Highlights-2024.pdf
8. United Nations Development Programme. (2024). *Moldova's Third Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC)*. <https://climatepromise.undp.org/what-we-do/where-we-work/moldova>
9. Sustainable Banking and Finance Network (SBFN). (2024). *NBM Approves Sustainable Finance Roadmap*. <https://www.sbfnetwork.org/sbfnet-member-spotlight-national-bank-of-moldova-approves-sustainable-finance-roadmap>
10. National Bank of Moldova (NBM). (2025). *NBM Develops Taxonomy for Sustainable Finance*. <http://www.bnm.md/en/content/national-bank-moldova-develops-taxonomy-sustainable-finance>
11. International Monetary Fund (IMF). (2024). *Letter of Intent: Republic of Moldova*. <https://www.imf.org/-/media/Files/Publications/LOI/2024/MDA120224.ashx>
12. European Investment Bank (EIB) Global. (2024). *MSMEs in Moldova Receive EU-backed Financing Boost from EIB Global in Partnership with maib*. <https://www.eib.org/en/press/all/2024-137-msmes-in-moldova-receive-eu-backed-financing-boost-from-eib-global-in-partnership-with-maib>
13. European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD). (2025). *Residential Green Economy Financing Facility (GEFF) Moldova*. <https://www.ebrd.com/home/work-with-us/projects/psd/55710.html>
14. European Investment Bank (EIB) Global. (2023). *EIB Global Invests \$30 Million to Modernise Moldova's Electricity Distribution Grid*. <https://www.eib.org/en/press/all/2023-145-eib-global-invests-usd30-million-to-modernise-moldova-s-electricity-distribution-grid>