CZU: 005.52:664.143(478+498) DOI: https://doi.org/10.53486/issc2025.42

THE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF TWO COMPANIES FROM THE SWEETS INDUSTRY: ROMANIA VS. MOLDOVA

ANALIZA FINANCIARĂ A DOUĂ COMPANII DIN INDUSTRIA DULCIURILOR: ROMÂNIA VS. MOLDOVA

Author: Daniel Călin BĂLAȘ-TIMAR

"Aurel Vlaicu" University of Arad, Romania <u>balastimardaniel@gmail.com</u> Scientific coordinator: Bogdan Cosmin GOMOI "Aurel Vlaicu" University of Arad, Romania <u>bogdan_gomoi@yahoo.com</u> ORCID code: 0009-0000-5069-247X

Abstract: The financial position represents a highly discussed concept within contemporary financial-accounting literature. It is assessed starting from the company's assets, as reflected in the balance sheet, currently referred to as the statement of financial position (assets, liabilities, and equity). The analysis of the financial position is based on various indicators that highlight the structure of a company's resources and the way in which they are utilized. These include indicators such as the fixed assets ratio, the current assets ratio, the financial autonomy ratio, and the debt ratio. The present paper aims to provide a comparative approach to the analysis of the financial position, focusing on a Romanian company on one hand, and a Moldovan company on the other. Both companies operate within the same sector, namely, the confectionery industry, making them highly illustrative for the economic environments to which they belong. **Keywords**: analysis, financial position, comparison, Romania, Republic of Moldova. **JEL**: G30, G32, L66, M41.

Introduction

The Romanian company analyzed in this study is Kandia Dulce. It is the largest confectionery producer in Romania, with a long-standing tradition dating back to 1890. Currently, the company holds a diverse portfolio of brands across several product categories, including chocolate, pastries, biscuits, and sugar-based products.

The Moldovan company under analysis is Bucuria. This company is the largest confectionery producer in the Republic of Moldova, with operations dating back to 1946. At present, it holds approximately 90% of the total confectionery production volume in the Republic of Moldova, primarily focused on candies, offering a highly diverse assortment. Both companies operate under the accrual-based accounting system, which is characterized by timing differences between the accounting recognition of expenses and revenues and their corresponding cash payments or receipts. Kandia Dulce operates within the European Union's relatively stable economic and regulatory framework, while Bucuria functions in a non-EU environment, marked by greater instability.

Basic content. The present study aims to conduct both a static and dynamic analysis of the financial position, in terms of structural composition.

For both companies, the analysis covers a time frame of six consecutive financial years, namely 2016 - 2021. This period includes phases of normality (2016 - 2019), the pandemic year (2020), and a return to relative normality (2021).

The financial data is presented in Romanian Lei (RON) for Kandia Dulce and in Moldovan Lei (MDL) for Bucuria, using a parity exchange rate of 1 RON = 4 MDL.

The fixed assets ratio refers to the proportion of fixed assets/investment values within the total assets of the company:

	Indicator/Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Kandia	Fixed assets	73342070	79749861	80793585	79036619	143442392	142355221
Dulce	Total assets	133100960	131602211	138790664	143079110	200885308	196929383
(RON)	Fixed assets ratio	55.10%	60.60%	58.21%	55.24%	71.41%	72.29%
Bucuria	Fixed assets	29716375	30034900	30055975	33165150	39660775	41455875
(MDL)	Total assets	84408850	89344850	92641200	94595450	94174350	98745800
	Fixed assets ratio	35.21%	33.62%	32.44%	35.06%	42.11%	41.98%
	G 1 1	1 1 .	1 1		. 1		

	1		e 1	4		C	41	4	•
I ahle no	•	INA	tived.	accete	ratin	tor	the	two	companies
	1.	Inc	IIACU	assus	1 au	101	unc	UNU	companies

Source: author's own calculations based on companies' financial statements.

In the case of Kandia Dulce, fixed assets consistently hold a majority share within the company's total assets, showing an upward trend during the pandemic (2020) and post-pandemic period (2021). This indicates that the company owns a substantial volume of high-value investments. Within the analyzed timeframe, the highest share of fixed assets was recorded in 2021, at 72.29%, while the lowest was observed in 2016, at 55.10%.

For Bucuria, fixed assets represent a moderate share of the company's total assets, also following an increasing trend during the pandemic (2020) and post-pandemic period (2021). This likewise suggests the presence of high-value investment assets. Over the analyzed interval, the peak value was reached in 2020 at 42.11%, while the lowest share was noted in 2018, at 32.44%.

The current assets ratio refers to the proportion of current assets within the total assets of the

	company. Table no. 2. The current assets ratio for the two companies						
	Indicator/Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Kandia	Current assets	59758890	51852350	57997079	64042491	57442916	54574162
Dulce	Total assets	133100960	131602211	138790664	143079110	200885308	196929383
(RON)	Current assets ratio	44.90%	39.40%	41.79%	44.76%	28.59%	27.71%

54692475

84408850

64.79%

Bucuria

(MDL)

Current assets

Current assets ratio

Total assets

company: Table no. 2: The current assets ratio for the two companies

57289925

98745800

58.02%

Source: author's own calculations based on companies' financial statements.

59309950

89344850

66.38%

62585225

92641200

67.56%

61430300

94595450

64.94%

54513575

94174350

57 89%

In the case of Kandia Dulce, current assets hold a moderate share within the company's total assets, with a downward trend observed during the pandemic (2020) and the post-pandemic period (2021). This indicates that the company holds current assets of moderate value. Within the analyzed period, the highest share was recorded in 2016, at 44.90%, while the lowest was in 2021, at 27.71%.

In the case of Bucuria, current assets represent the majority of the company's total assets, also following a slight downward trend during the pandemic (2020) and the post-pandemic period (2021). This reflects the company's strong orientation toward high-value current assets. Over the analyzed timeframe, the highest share was noted in 2018, at 67.56%, while the lowest was recorded in 2020, at 57.89%.

The financial autonomy ratio refers to the proportion of equity (self-financing sources) within the company's total liabilities and equity (funding structure):

Indicator/Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Equity	66830679	75808429	85601428	92878938	151671427	150216152
Total liabilities and equity	133100960	131602211	138790664	143079110	200885308	196929383
Financial autonomy ratio	50.21%	57.60%	61.68%	64.91%	75.50%	76.28%
Equity	69316725	71943575	74824175	77671150	80099600	83923550
Total liabilities and equity	84408850	89344850	92641200	94595450	94174350	98745800
Financial autonomy ratio	82.12%	80.52%	80.77%	82.11%	85.05%	84.99%
	Equity Total liabilities and equity Financial autonomy ratio Equity Total liabilities and equity	Equity66830679Total liabilities and equity133100960Financial autonomy ratio50.21%Equity69316725Total liabilities and equity84408850	Equity 66830679 75808429 Total liabilities and equity 133100960 131602211 Financial autonomy ratio 50.21% 57.60% Equity 69316725 71943575 Total liabilities and equity 84408850 89344850	Equity668306797580842985601428Total liabilities and equity133100960131602211138790664Financial autonomy ratio50.21%57.60%61.68%Equity693167257194357574824175Total liabilities and equity844088508934485092641200	Equity66830679758084298560142892878938Total liabilities and equity133100960131602211138790664143079110Financial autonomy ratio50.21%57.60%61.68%64.91%Equity69316725719435757482417577671150Total liabilities and equity84408850893448509264120094595450	Equity66830679758084298560142892878938151671427Total liabilities and equity133100960131602211138790664143079110200885308Financial autonomy ratio50.21%57.60%61.68%64.91%75.50%Equity6931672571943575748241757767115080099600Total liabilities and equity8440885089344850926412009459545094174350

Table no. 3: The financial autonomy ratio for the two companies

Source: author's own calculations based on companies' financial statements.

In the case of Kandia Dulce, financing based on equity has shown a continuous increase throughout the analyzed period, remaining above the 50% threshold. This indicates that the company is gradually consolidating its capital structure by relying more heavily on internal funding sources. The upward trend in equity-based financing has become increasingly pronounced. Within the analyzed timeframe, the highest share was recorded in 2021, at 76.28%, while the lowest was observed in 2016, at 50.21%. For Bucuria, equity-based financing appears to be fluctuating, yet consistently high, remaining above 80% throughout the period. This reflects a strong financial autonomy, with the company enjoying near-complete independence from external financing. Although the trend is not entirely stable, the fluctuations are relatively minor, and equity remains the predominant source of funding. Over the analyzed interval, the highest share was observed in 2020, at 85.05%, and the lowest in 2017, at 80.52%. The debt ratio refers to the proportion of liabilities (external financing sources) within the company's total liabilities and equity (funding structure):

	Indicator/Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Kandia	Liabilities	66270281	55793782	53189236	50200172	49213881	46713231
Dulce	Total liabilities and equity	133100960	131602211	138790664	143079110	200885308	196929383
(RON)	Debt ratio	49.79%	42.40%	38.32%	35.09%	24.50%	23.72%
December	Liabilities	15092125	17401275	17817025	16924300	14074750	14822250
Bucuria (MDL)	Total liabilities and equity	84408850	89344850	92641200	94595450	94174350	98745800
	Debt ratio	17.88%	19.48%	19.23%	17.89%	14.95%	15.01%

Table no. 4: The debt ratio for the two companies

Source: author's own calculations based on companies' financial statements.

In the case of Kandia Dulce, financing based on external sources has shown a continuous downward trend over the analyzed period, remaining below the 50% threshold. This indicates that the company is increasingly reducing its reliance on debt financing. The use of borrowed capital has been steadily declining. Throughout the analyzed timeframe, the highest share was recorded in 2016, at 49.79%, while the lowest was observed in 2021, at 23.72%.

For Bucuria, debt-based financing has fluctuated over time, following a bell-shaped trend, yet remained consistently low, with values below 20%. This reflects the company's very limited use of external funding sources. Although there were fluctuations, they were modest, and the overall reliance on debt remained minimal. Over the analyzed period, the highest proportion was recorded in 2017, at 19.48%, while the lowest was observed in 2020, at 14.95%.

Conclusions. As a result of this comparative study, we consider it appropriate that both the conclusions and recommendations be presented side by side, given that the two companies analyzed may be viewed, by extrapolation, as representative symbols of two distinct economic environments.

Kandia Dulce	Bucuria				
Concl	lusions				
 A predominance of fixed assets over current assets, indicating that the company's activity is characterized more by stability than by dynamism; A balanced ratio between equity (internal sources) and debt (external sources), reflecting a favorable and diversified capital structure, especially since most of the liabilities are non-interest-bearing, short-term, and effectively cost-free. 	 A predominance of current assets over fixed assets, indicating that the company's activity is characterized more by dynamism than by stability; A capital structure strongly weighted toward internal sources (equity), with limited use of external financing (debt), reflecting a high degree of financial autonomy, an advantageous position that also serves as a key resilience factor in times of crisis. 				
Recomm	nendations				
 Enhancing the efficiency and dynamism of the operating cycle; Careful management of the financing policy to maintain a balanced ratio between equity and debt; Exploring new market niches. 	 Maintaining the current equity-focused financing policy to ensure continued financial autonomy; Balancing the relationship between current assets and operating liabilities; Careful treasury management; Exploring opportunities to enter new markets. 				

Bibliographical references

- GOMOI, B. C. Management financiar și al performanțelor. Timișoara: Editura Eurostampa, 2020. ISBN 978-606-32-0956-7.
- 2. ȚILICĂ, E. V., CIOBANU, R. Finanțe și management financiar. București: Editura CECCAR, 2020. ISBN 978-606-580-130-1.
- 3. https://www.risco.ro/
- 4. http://www.statistica.md/