

PSYCHOSOMATIC PRACTICES AND INNOVATION AS PILLARS OF ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT IN UNCERTAIN TIMES

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.53486/dri2025.13>

UDC: 159.9:[005.334:005.32]

LORINA BUGA

Academy of Economic Studies of Moldova
Chisinau, Republic of Moldova
psiholog.lorina@gmail.com
ORCID ID: 0009-0007-9259-0325

SVETLANA MIHAILA

Academy of Economic Studies of Moldova
Chisinau, Republic of Moldova
svetlana.mihaila@ase.md
ORCID ID: 0000-0001-5289-8885

Abstract: *This study investigates how psychosomatic resilience and innovative practices can increase organizational commitment in dynamic business contexts. Amid economic downturns, technological disruptions, and workforce challenges, companies need effective strategies to sustain employee dedication and loyalty. The research examines how psychosomatic approaches, which emphasize the interplay of mental and physical health, combined with innovation-oriented initiatives, lead to enduring organizational loyalty. Using a systematic literature review, the study synthesizes insights from academic articles and industry reports published from 2010 to 2024. Materials were sourced from academic databases and rigorously evaluated through thematic analysis to uncover leading trends and strategic perspectives. Results indicate that adopting psychosomatic measures, such as stress reduction programs and resilience training at the workplace, paired with innovation-driven strategies, enhance employee motivation, engagement, and retention. Leadership plays a pivotal role in integrating these strategies by fostering psychological safety and adaptability. This research enriches the field of strategic human resource management and provides actionable guidance for modern leaders aiming to bolster organizational resilience. Being constrained by reliance on secondary data, the study still provides a multidisciplinary framework and suggests avenues for future empirical studies and more specific industry applications.*

Key words: *psychosomatic resilience, innovation, organizational commitment, employee engagement, turbulent environments*

JEL: M12, M54, O31, I19

1. Introduction

In today's dynamic business environment, organizational commitment plays a crucial role in shaping company success. It reflects employees' psychological attachment and loyalty, directly influencing motivation, performance, and retention. Research shows that organizations with committed employees benefit from increased productivity, lower turnover, and stronger customer satisfaction (Awwad et al., 2024). However, modern businesses operate in turbulent conditions, facing economic crises, technological shifts, and geopolitical instability. These challenges create uncertainty, leading to disengagement, absenteeism, and reduced organizational performance. To navigate such complexities, companies must adopt innovative strategies that enhance both resilience and adaptability. One effective approach is integrating psychosomatic resilience with innovation, fostering a work culture that supports both employee well-being and creative problem-solving. Organizational commitment refers to the psychological bond employees develop with their organization, influencing their willingness to remain and contribute. Meyer and Allen (1991) conceptualized it through three dimensions: affective commitment, based on emotional attachment; continuance commitment, influenced by perceived costs of leaving; and normative commitment, driven by a sense of obligation. These elements collectively shape engagement, directly impacting workplace performance.

Studies confirm that committed employees drive organizational success. Meyer et al. (2002) found that high organizational commitment correlates with greater productivity, innovation, and financial stability. Employees who feel committed exhibit discretionary behaviors that strengthen team dynamics and customer relations. Furthermore, Massoud and Jameel (2020) highlight that engaged employees improve customer satisfaction, as their commitment to quality service fosters long-term customer loyalty. Given these advantages, businesses must actively nurture commitment, especially in unstable environments where job security and morale are frequently challenged.

The contemporary business world is shaped by volatility, uncertainty, complexity, and ambiguity (VUCA). Economic downturns, rapid technological advancements, and geopolitical instability exacerbate these uncertainties (Rimita, 2019). Economic crises can lead to budget cuts, layoffs, and reduced consumer spending, creating financial strain and eroding employee confidence. Organizations operating in sectors vulnerable to financial fluctuations must adopt stability-focused strategies to retain committed employees.

Technological disruptions present another major challenge. With industries evolving through digital transformation, automation, and artificial intelligence, employees may face job insecurity or skill redundancy. Failure to adapt can result in reduced morale and diminished commitment. Geopolitical instability, such as trade conflicts and regulatory shifts, further destabilizes businesses. Policy changes and global tensions can disrupt supply chains and force structural changes, causing employee uncertainty regarding job security and career prospects.

External disruptions have direct psychological impacts, often triggering stress, anxiety, and disengagement. Research by Boyne and Meier (2009) suggests that unpredictable environments negatively affect organizational performance, as employees struggle to stay motivated amid instability. To address these concerns, companies must implement strategies that strengthen resilience and foster commitment, ensuring employee engagement despite external volatility.

Organizations must integrate psychosomatic resilience and innovation to counteract turbulence. Psychosomatic resilience connects mental and physical well-being, recognizing that workplace stress manifests in symptoms like fatigue, burnout, and decreased productivity. Companies prioritizing mental health programs, mindfulness, and emotional intelligence training cultivate supportive environments that enhance commitment. Studies show that employees with lower stress levels exhibit higher engagement (Buga, 2023), reinforcing the need for structured well-being initiatives.

Alongside psychosomatic resilience, fostering innovation is key to sustaining commitment. Innovation extends beyond technology, promoting an adaptive mindset where employees embrace change and contribute to problem-solving. Companies that cultivate innovation empower employees, making them more invested in organizational success. Dzieńdziora et al. (2022) found that innovative workplaces instill a sense of purpose, increasing motivation and long-term commitment.

The synergy between psychosomatic resilience and innovation presents a holistic approach to commitment. Employees supported in managing stress and uncertainty are more likely to contribute to a culture of innovation. In turn, organizations that encourage creativity provide employees with control and agency, reducing psychological distress. Combining these strategies enables companies to maintain a stable and engaged workforce despite external disruptions.

This paper explores how organizations can foster employee commitment by integrating psychosomatic resilience and innovation. It examines the role of psychosomatic strategies in enhancing well-being and commitment, evaluates how innovation strengthens engagement and adaptability, and proposes a framework for integrating these strategies to reinforce organizational resilience. The paper begins with a Methodology section that outlines a systematic literature review of academic and industry sources on organizational commitment, psychosomatic resilience, and innovation. The Literature Review builds a theoretical foundation, showing how psychosomatic resilience and innovation contribute to stability and engagement in uncertain environments. In the Findings, the paper analyzes how mental resilience and innovation jointly support long-term employee commitment, particularly in volatile conditions. The

Discussion interprets these insights, offering practical recommendations for leadership and HR, including stress reduction, employee support, and innovation initiatives. The Conclusion reinforces the value of integrating psychosomatic and innovative strategies to strengthen commitment and adaptability in turbulent organizational contexts.

2. Methodology

This study uses a systematic literature review (SLR) to explore the relationship between organizational commitment, psychosomatic resilience, and innovation in turbulent environments. The SLR method enables a structured synthesis of theoretical and empirical findings across the disciplines of management, psychology, and innovation, based on the methodology outlined by Tranfield et al. (2003). Sources were selected from high-quality databases including Web of Science, Scopus, and ProQuest, and supplemented with industry reports from leading consulting firms. Only peer-reviewed articles published between 2010 and 2024 were included to ensure contemporary relevance. Key search terms included *organizational commitment*, *psychosomatic resilience*, *employee engagement in crisis*, and *innovation and loyalty*.

A thematic analysis, following Braun and Clarke (2006), was used to identify patterns and group findings into core themes such as workplace stress, innovation as a driver of engagement, and strategies for maintaining commitment in uncertainty. This helped synthesize diverse insights into a cohesive framework for understanding how psychosomatic and innovative practices support commitment.

While the SLR offers academic rigor and interdisciplinary breadth, it also has limitations. These include a reliance on secondary data, limiting causal inference, and potential publication bias, as positive findings are more frequently published. Furthermore, insights from adjacent fields like neuroscience or behavioural economics may be underrepresented. An SLR was chosen over empirical methods due to the interdisciplinary scope and complexity of the topic. Longitudinal or experimental studies would be required to test specific interventions. Thus, this method offers a robust and structured foundation for theory-building and future applied research.

3. Literature Review

3.1. Understanding Organizational Commitment in Uncertain Times

Organizational commitment reflects an employee's psychological attachment to their organization, influencing retention and performance. Porter et al. (1974) described organizational commitment as an individual's alignment with a company's objectives and their readiness to put in effort. Meyer and Allen (1991) further enhanced this idea, identifying three dimensions: *affective commitment* (emotional connection to the organization), *continuance commitment* (recognition of the costs of leaving), and *normative commitment* (a sense of duty). These elements are key for fostering employee engagement and loyalty, which are the basis for organizational stability.

Challenging business contexts, characterized by economic instability, technological disruptions, and geopolitical conflicts, pose significant barriers to maintaining a high commitment. Economic downturns can lead to job insecurity, to layoffs, and decrease morale and employee dedication (Dögl and Holtbrügge, 2014; Filimonau et al., 2020). Rapid technological advancement can outpace existing skills, resulting in a higher workplace stress. Geopolitical instability further intensifies these challenges, influencing motivation and employee retention (Reade and Lee, 2012; Einwiller et al., 2021).

Leadership must nurture commitment amid such uncertainties. Transformational leadership, which motivates employees by fostering a shared vision, strengthens commitment and leads to positive organizational behaviors, reducing turnover (Sun and Wang, 2017; Herman et al., 2013). Moreover, acknowledging employees' efforts increases morale and engagement, particularly in volatile work settings (Anitha, 2014; Markos and Sridevi, 2010).

3.2. Psychosomatic Resilience as a Strategy for Organizational Stability

Psychosomatic resilience refers to a human's capacity to preserve both mental and physical health under workplace pressures. Stress, anxiety, and burnout commonly manifest in physical symptoms such as chronic fatigue or heart-related conditions (Siegrist, 2016). Fostering psychosomatic well-being is important for maintaining employee productivity and dedication in demanding work environments.

Hans Selye's *General Adaptation Syndrome (GAS)* framework showcases the stages of chronic stress: alarm, resistance, and exhaustion, with extended stress culminating in burnout (Maslach and Leiter, 2016). The *Job Demand-Resource (JD-R) Model* points that high job demands, when not balanced with adequate resources, often lead to increased employee strain and disengagement (Bakker and Demerouti, 2017).

Organizations implementing psychosomatic health strategies foster resilience, reducing turnover (Schaufeli, 2017).

Stress-related challenges, including economic downturns and restructuring, negatively impact well-being and performance (Ganster and Rosen, 2013). Job insecurity heightens anxiety and physical health risks, reducing engagement (Cotton et al., 2022). Employees with low psychosomatic resilience struggle with emotional regulation, leading to impaired decision-making and job dissatisfaction (Hobfoll et al., 2018). This weakens affective commitment and increases turnover risk (Cooper and Quick, 2017).

Companies adopting stress-reduction initiatives, such as flexible work policies, wellness programs, and skill development, improve resilience (Grawitch et al., 2015). Self-regulation techniques, including mindfulness and cognitive restructuring, enhance emotional resilience and job satisfaction (Vohs and Baumeister, 2016). A meta-analysis found mindfulness interventions reduce burnout and improve retention (Lomas et al., 2017). Companies like Google and Intel have successfully implemented such programs, boosting commitment (Good et al., 2016).

Other psychosomatic interventions include biofeedback training, relaxation techniques, and resilience coaching. Biofeedback improves stress regulation by tracking physiological responses (Yu et al., 2018). Organizations promoting physical activity, sleep hygiene, and nutrition see higher job engagement and lower absenteeism (Ratey and Loehr, 2011, Siu et al., 2014). Workplace coaching incorporating breathing exercises and guided visualization improves resilience and commitment (Grant, 2017).

3.3. Innovation as a Driver of Organizational Commitment

Innovation contributes to organizational success by stimulating adaptability and strengthening employee loyalty. It fosters engagement by instilling a sense of purpose and opportunities for professional growth (Amabile and Pratt, 2016). By promoting a creative problem-solving approach, innovation sustains employee dedication, and reduces workplace stagnation. The Job Demand-Resource (JD-R) Model shows that access to innovative opportunities increases motivation and improves retention (Bakker and Demerouti, 2017). Workers in progressive organizations are more probable to develop emotional attachment, or affective commitment, to their workplace.

Companies that make innovation a priority report higher levels of engagement and lower turnover (Liu et al., 2019). Employees who feel their contributions are cherished show greater loyalty. Furthermore, innovative environments are appealing to younger people who seek career advancement and creative freedom.

Innovation enables organizations to manage crises while fostering employee commitment. For instance, under Satya Nadella's leadership, Microsoft adopted a growth-oriented culture, moving away from outdated hierarchies toward a learning-focused environment, which boosted employee engagement and retention (Ibarra et al., 2018). Similarly, in the early 2000s, LEGO dealt with financial hurdles but decided not to reduce the workforce and introduced the LEGO Ideas Platform,

a collaborative initiative engaging both employees and customers in product development. This approach reinvigorated engagement and refreshed the brand (Robertson and Breen, 2013).

During the COVID-19 crisis, Siemens implemented a digital transformation program using hybrid work arrangements and AI-supported collaboration tools. These changes led to greater job satisfaction and productivity, proving that innovation sustains commitment amid uncertainty (Benmoussa, 2023). An innovation-driven culture contributes to employee well-being by enhancing psychological safety, empowerment, and skill growth. Psychological safety, where workers are not afraid to take risks, boosts creativity and engagement (Newman et al., 2017).

Organizations promoting continuous learning and knowledge-sharing boost motivation and commitment. Google's 20% time policy, which allows employees to work on passion projects, is linked to increased innovation and job satisfaction (Bock, 2015).

4. Findings

This section synthesizes key insights from psychosomatic strategies and innovation and their combined impact on organizational commitment. Psychosomatic strategies significantly enhance employee well-being and productivity. Mindfulness interventions have also been linked to lower burnout and higher job satisfaction (Lomas et al., 2017).

Innovation fosters creativity and problem-solving, strengthening employee engagement. Research confirms that companies emphasizing innovation experience lower attrition and higher job satisfaction (Liu et al., 2019). Pro-innovative HR practices enhance psychological contracts, boosting commitment and workplace morale (Gadomska-Lila and Rogozińska-Pawelczyk, 2021). Transformational leadership supports psychosomatic and innovative practices, creating environments that promote well-being and creativity. Leaders with strong psychological capital positively influence employee innovation and engagement (Li et al., 2020). Organizational interventions are most effective when backed by proactive leadership. Psychological safety—employees' ability to take risks without fear—enhances innovation and engagement. Team psychological safety has been linked to improved communication and innovative performance (Gong et al., 2012).

Organizations combining psychosomatic resilience programs with innovation initiatives see higher employee engagement. Companies that promote well-being alongside creativity foster commitment and retention (Keskes, 2014). Psychometric assessment tools have modernised talent management by improving employee engagement and performance. Human resource strategies that promote ongoing learning and innovative problem-solving are a strong basis for employee dedication. Studies show that cultivating an innovative culture increases job satisfaction and psychological well-being (Gadomska-Lila and Rogozińska-Pawelczyk, 2021). However, challenges such as resource constraints and resistance from management can slow down the adoption of these practices. Addressing these barriers requires highly committed leadership and a workplace culture that values both employee well-being and innovation.

5. Discussion

The systematic review shows the vital connection between psychosomatic approaches and innovative practices in improving organizational commitment, especially during instable times.

Psychosomatic interventions, play a pivotal role in supporting employees' mental and physical well-being. These methods are between psychological and physiological health, alleviating stress-related symptoms like continuous fatigue and heart conditions, which are common in demanding workplaces (Siegrist, 2016). By adopting such strategies, organizations can stimulate employee engagement and productivity, ultimately leading to greater loyalty to the organization.

Innovation is essential for companies that aim to be competitive amid fast-paced technological changes and economic crises. A focus on innovation not only enhances organizational performance but also leads to a greater adaptability. Such an environment encourages employees to come up with creative solutions,

which reduces job dissatisfaction and turnover intentions. As a result, a strong innovation culture is associated with improved employee engagement and dedication (Benmoussa, 2023).

Leadership is paramount in blending psychosomatic strategies with innovation efforts. Transformational leaders who value employee well-being and promote creative thinking foster a workplace climate that puts psychological safety among the top priorities.

This, in turn, leads to improved communication, increased trust, and a stronger sense of organizational commitment among employees (Liu et al., 2019).

Psychological safety, defined as a shared belief that the work environment is safe for interpersonal risk-taking, plays a mediating role in the relationship between psychosomatic strategies, innovation, and organizational commitment. A psychologically safe workplace encourages employees to express ideas and concerns without fear of negative consequences, thereby promoting innovation and strengthening commitment (Gong et al., 2012).

In volatile and uncertain contexts, managers and leaders must adopt strategies that integrate psychosomatic approaches and innovation to maintain and enhance organizational commitment. Managers should implement psychosomatic interventions, such as mindfulness training and stress management programs, to support employees' mental and physical health. By addressing work-related stressors, organizations can reduce psychosomatic symptoms and improve overall well-being, leading to increased commitment and reduced turnover (Ganster and Rosen, 2013). Encouraging a culture that values creativity and continuous improvement enables organizations to adapt to changing environments effectively. Providing resources and opportunities for employees to engage in innovative projects enhances job satisfaction and loyalty (Amabile and Pratt, 2016). Leaders must cultivate adaptive capabilities to navigate complex and dynamic situations. The Michigan Model of Leadership emphasizes the importance of recognizing and managing competing tensions, enabling leaders to make informed decisions that balance organizational needs with employee well-being (Cignitas et al., 2021).

Creating an environment where employees feel safe to take interpersonal risks is essential. Leaders can achieve this by promoting open communication, demonstrating empathy, and showing genuine concern for employees' well-being, thereby fostering a sense of security that encourages innovation and commitment (Edmondson, 1999). Organizations should proactively address potential stressors by designing work processes that consider employees' psychological health. This includes ensuring manageable workloads, clear communication during organizational changes, and providing support systems to help employees navigate transitions (Dollard and Bakker, 2010).

While existing literature underscores the benefits of integrating psychosomatic approaches and innovation to bolster organizational commitment, several areas warrant further exploration. Long-term studies are needed to assess the sustained impact of combined psychosomatic and innovation interventions on organizational commitment. Such research would provide insights into the enduring effects of these strategies and inform best practices for implementation. Investigating how different industries can tailor psychosomatic and innovation strategies to their unique challenges would offer valuable, context-specific guidance. For instance, the healthcare sector may benefit from resilience training programs designed to address the high-stress nature of clinical environments (Marcatto et al., 2024). Cultural dimensions significantly influence the effectiveness of psychosomatic and innovation initiatives. Research examining how cultural differences impact the adoption and success of these strategies can help multinational organizations design culturally sensitive interventions (Hofstede, 2001). With the rise of remote work, studies should explore how virtual environments affect the implementation and outcomes of psychosomatic and innovation strategies. Understanding these dynamics is crucial for developing effective remote work policies that maintain employee well-being and commitment (Charalampous et al., 2019). Research focusing on the combined impact of psychosomatic and innovation interventions can identify potential synergies that amplify organizational commitment. This includes examining how the integration of these approaches influences various dimensions of commitment, such as affective, continuance, and normative commitment.

6. Conclusions

This study explored the critical intersection between psychosomatic strategies and innovation as mechanisms for strengthening organizational commitment in turbulent environments. Drawing from an extensive literature review and thematic synthesis, the findings affirm that employee well-being and adaptability are deeply interconnected and central to organizational resilience and success.

Psychosomatic strategies—such as mindfulness, stress management, and resilience training—directly address the psychological and physiological burdens employees face in unstable contexts. By mitigating stress-related symptoms and promoting emotional regulation, these practices not only improve individual health outcomes but also enhance organizational loyalty and engagement. Organizations that prioritize psychosomatic resilience are better equipped to retain talent and foster a supportive workplace culture.

Simultaneously, fostering a culture of innovation emerges as a vital driver of organizational commitment. Innovation empowers employees to contribute creatively, enhances their sense of purpose, and reinforces affective commitment to the organization. Case studies of organizations such as Microsoft, LEGO, and Siemens demonstrate that innovating during crises helps companies to maintain operations while improving employee trust, motivation, and job satisfaction.

Leadership is pivotal to mixing psychosomatic and innovative approaches. Transformational and adaptive leaders who value psychological safety, foster creativity, and advocate for employee well-being create workplaces where individuals can excel. Psychological safety serves as a key mediator, linking innovation with engagement by enabling employees to take risks without fear, thereby improving both creativity and organizational loyalty.

For leaders and managers, the findings point out a critical shift: well-being and innovation should not be treated separately. Adopting an integrated approach that supports both psychosomatic health and encourages creative problem-solving is of great importance for sustaining employee commitment, particularly in times of economic volatility, technological shifts, and global challenges.

Further research can explore how combined psychosomatic-innovation frameworks work over extended periods, across different sectors, and in mixed cultural contexts. Longitudinal studies, industry-specific cases, and observation of remote work dynamics will offer insights to modernise these approaches.

Ultimately, organizations that focus on both the emotional resilience and creative capacity of their employees will not only get through turbulent times but also become more adaptable, resilient, and prepared for long-term success in the complex global landscape.

References

1. AMABILE, T. M. & PRATT, M. G. 2016. The dynamic componential model of creativity and innovation in organizations: Making progress, making meaning. *Research in organizational behavior*, 36, 157-183.
2. AWWAD, M. S., ABUZAIID, A. N., AL-OKAILY, M. & ALQATAMIN, Y. M. 2024. The supportive side of organisational socialisation: how it boosts employee commitment. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 32, 1739-1768.
3. BOCK, L. 2015. *Work rules!: Insights from inside Google that will transform how you live and lead*, Twelve.
4. BOYNE, G. A. & MEIER, K. J. 2009. Environmental turbulence, organizational stability, and public service performance. *Administration & Society*, 40, 799-824.
5. BRAUN, V. & CLARKE, V. 2006. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative research in psychology*, 3, 77-101.
6. BUGA, L. Strengthening organizational behavior under the conditions of a turbulent environment. Strategii și politici de management în economia contemporană, 2023. 166-174.
7. CHARALAMPOUS, M., GRANT, C. A., TRAMONTANO, C. & MICHAELIDIS, E. 2019. Systematically reviewing remote e-workers' well-being at work: A multidimensional approach. *European journal of work and organizational psychology*, 28, 51-73.

8. CIGNITAS, C. P., TORRENTS ARÉVALO, J. A. & VILAJOSANA CRUSELLS, J. 2021. Balanced scorecard, the key to employee well-being the impact of balanced scorecard on employee well-being: The case of state of Michigan-USA. *International journal of business & management*, 9, 175-197.
9. COOPER, C. & QUICK, J. C. 2017. *The handbook of stress and health: A guide to research and practice*, John Wiley & Sons.
10. COTTON, C., KASHI, B., LLOYD-ELLIS, H., TREMBLAY, F. & CROWLEY, B. 2022. Quantifying the economic impacts of COVID-19 policy responses on Canada's provinces in (almost) real time. *Canadian Journal of Economics/Revue canadienne d'économique*, 55, 406-445.
11. DOLLARD, M. F. & BAKKER, A. B. 2010. Psychosocial safety climate as a precursor to conducive work environments, psychological health problems, and employee engagement. *Journal of occupational and organizational psychology*, 83, 579-599.
12. DZIENDZIORA, J., SMOLAREK, M., ŻEBRAK, D. & GROSS-GOŁACKA, E. 2022. Organizational factors of commitment to innovation vs. innovative behavior in smes in the renewable energy sources (res) industry. *Energies*, 15, 5674.
13. EDMONDSON, A. 1999. Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. *Administrative science quarterly*, 44, 350-383.
14. GADOMSKA-LILA, K. & ROGOZIŃSKA-PAWEŁCZYK, A. 2021. The role of pro-innovative HR practices and psychological contract in shaping employee commitment and satisfaction: A case from the energy industry. *Energies*, 15, 255.
15. GANSTER, D. C. & ROSEN, C. C. 2013. Work stress and employee health: A multidisciplinary review. *Journal of management*, 39, 1085-1122.
16. GONG, Y., CHEUNG, S.-Y., WANG, M. & HUANG, J.-C. 2012. Unfolding the proactive process for creativity: Integration of the employee proactivity, information exchange, and psychological safety perspectives. *Journal of management*, 38, 1611-1633.
17. GOOD, D. J., LYDDY, C. J., GLOMB, T. M., BONO, J. E., BROWN, K. W., DUFFY, M. K., BAER, R. A., BREWER, J. A. & LAZAR, S. W. 2016. Contemplating mindfulness at work: An integrative review. *Journal of management*, 42, 114-142.
18. GRANT, A. M. 2017. The third 'generation' of workplace coaching: creating a culture of quality conversations. *Coaching: An International Journal of Theory, Research and Practice*, 10, 37-53.
19. GRAWITCH, M. J., BALLARD, D. W. & ERB, K. R. 2015. To be or not to be (stressed): The critical role of a psychologically healthy workplace in effective stress management. *Stress and Health*, 31, 264-273.
20. HOBFOLL, S. E., HALBESLEBEN, J., NEVEU, J.-P. & WESTMAN, M. 2018. Conservation of resources in the organizational context: The reality of resources and their consequences. *Annual review of organizational psychology and organizational behavior*, 5, 103-128.
21. HOFSTEDE, G. 2001. *Culture's consequences: Comparing values, behaviors, institutions and organizations across nations*, Sage publications.
22. KESKES, I. 2014. Relationship between leadership styles and dimensions of employee organizational commitment: A critical review and discussion of future directions. *Intangible Capital*, 10, 26-51.
23. LI, T., LIANG, W., YU, Z. & DANG, X. 2020. Analysis of the influence of entrepreneur's psychological capital on employee's innovation behavior under leader-member exchange relationship. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 1853.
24. LIU, F., CHOW, I. H.-S., ZHANG, J.-C. & HUANG, M. 2019. Organizational innovation climate and individual innovative behavior: exploring the moderating effects of psychological ownership and psychological empowerment. *Review of Managerial Science*, 13, 771-789.
25. LOMAS, T., MEDINA, J. C., IVTZAN, I., RUPPRECHT, S., HART, R. & EIROA-OROSA, F. J. 2017. The impact of mindfulness on well-being and performance in the workplace: an inclusive systematic review of the empirical literature. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 26, 492-513.
26. MARCATTO, F., PATRIARCA, E., BRAMUZZO, D., LUCCI, E. & FILON, F. L. 2024. Investigating the role of organizational stress in nurses' psychosomatic complaints: Evidence from a study in northeastern Italy. *AIMS Public Health*, 11, 420.
27. MASSOUD, A. H. & JAMEEL, A. S. 2020. Stimulating organizational citizenship behavior by applying organizational commitment and satisfaction. *Innovation Journal of Social Sciences and Economic Review*, 2, 21-28.

28. MEYER, J. P. & ALLEN, N. J. 1991. A three-component conceptualization of organizational commitment. *Human resource management review*, 1, 61-89.
29. MEYER, J. P., STANLEY, D. J., HERSCOVITCH, L. & TOPOLNYTSKY, L. 2002. Affective, continuance, and normative commitment to the organization: A meta-analysis of antecedents, correlates, and consequences. *Journal of vocational behavior*, 61, 20-52.
30. RATEY, J. J. & LOEHR, J. E. 2011. The positive impact of physical activity on cognition during adulthood: a review of underlying mechanisms, evidence and recommendations.
31. RIMITA, K. N. 2019. *Leader readiness in a volatile, uncertain, complex, and ambiguous (VUCA) business environment*. Walden University.
32. SCHAUFELI, W. B. 2017. Applying the Job Demands-Resources model: A 'how to' guide to measuring and tackling work engagement and burnout. *Organizational dynamics*, 46, 120-132.
33. SIU, O. L., COOPER, C. L. & PHILLIPS, D. R. 2014. Intervention studies on enhancing work well-being, reducing burnout, and improving recovery experiences among Hong Kong health care workers and teachers. *International Journal of Stress Management*, 21, 69.
34. TRANFIELD, D., DENYER, D. & SMART, P. 2003. Towards a methodology for developing evidence-informed management knowledge by means of systematic review. *British journal of management*, 14, 207-222.
35. VOHS, K. D. & BAUMEISTER, R. F. 2016. *Handbook of self-regulation: Research, theory, and applications*, Guilford Publications.
36. YU, B., FUNK, M., HU, J., WANG, Q. & FEIJS, L. 2018. Biofeedback for everyday stress management: A systematic review. *Frontiers in ICT*, 5, 23.