FOSTERING CREATIVITY IN ACADEMIC ENVIRONMENT THROUGH STRATEGIES AND IMPLICATIONS FOR THE REPUBLIC OF MOLDOVA

DOI: https://doi.org/10.53486/dri2025.73
UDC: 159.954.4:378.147(478)

Svetlana DUCA
Academy of Economic Studies of Moldova
sv.duca@gmail.com

Abstract. *In the world where the rapid innovation and complex global challenges become more and more important every day, the ability to think creatively, to think critically is an imperative relavant need. This article explores the crucial role that academic institutions play in nurturing creativity among students and examines the context of the Republic of Moldova, where traditional educational practices still have an important role and can limit innovative thinking, meanwhile fostering creativity within academic environments becomes essential for preparing students to face life and jobs markets' challenges.*
By defining the concept of creativity within the academic frame, the article identifies the barriers in the Moldovan academic system, such as rigid curricula and traditional teaching methods, that very often may postpone the development of the innovations. The article then presents strategies and approaches designed to foster a more creative educational environment. These include implementing interdisciplinary courses, promoting project-based and collaborative learning, integrating technology to enhance creative concepts and initiatives, and reforming assessment methods to value originality and critical thinking. The article highlights the importance of cultivating the principles and paradigm that empowers students and provides faculties with the necessary training to support creative drive through creative component in the frame of the policies in the sector. It concludes by urging policy makers, institutions and educators to adopt these strategies to cultivate creative innovative thinkers prepared to address the demands of the future.

Keywords: *creativity, academia, innovation, interdisciplinary courses, educational reform*

1. Introduction

Creativity enhances problem-solving capabilities and drives innovation, fosters adaptability, making it crucial for personal and professional success. In the realm of academia, nurturing creativity is not just beneficial but necessary to prepare students for the complexities of the modern world.
Traditionally, educational systems around the world, including the one in the Republic of Moldova, have emphasized rote learning, standardized testing, and rigid curricula. This focus often stifles creative thinking, as students are encouraged to prioritize memorization and reporting over innovation. In Moldova, the legacy of such educational practices poses a challenge to fostering an environment where creativity can flourish.
Globally, there is a significant shift toward recognizing and integrating creativity in educational frameworks and reforms. By incorporating creativity component, aligned with the international trends through learning, critical thinking, and collaboration, Moldova initiates development and implementation of reforms in this context.
By fostering creativity, the academic institutions can better prepare students for diverse career paths, encouraging and considering strategic means to ensure the skills needed to navigate and lead in a dynamic world, as the job market changes and demands consider a more substantial necessity for creative thinkers who can adapt to new challenges increases. For this, the reforms targeted by the Moldova government, especially the ministries of economy and culture, under which the responsibility for creativity drives are nowadays, woll continue to facilitate the prioritization of creativity in teaching and learning. By doing so, academic institutions will create a generation of innovative leaders that will be able to face the future changes and challenges.
The framework of the paper introduces a novel significant approach, the support of creative intent through the purposeful integration of technology, considering that even highly experienced teachers require sustained support in implementing creativity assessment and embedding technology-driven

creative practices within the constraints of regular curricular structures. Furthermore, the research states the relevance and the need to assist educators in achieving more advanced levels of technological integration, ensuring that creative potential is not only nurtured but meaningfully realized across diverse educational contexts. [18, 4].

2. Literature Review

The significance of creativity in education has increasingly gained scholarly attention, particularly within the context of digital transformation and evolving pedagogical practices. Creativity is now widely recognized not only as a desirable educational outcome but also as a strategic pedagogical tool that nurtures students' innovative thinking and encourages the expression of imaginative ideas [10]

Empirical studies have demonstrated the multifaceted benefits of fostering creativity in students. Zhang et al. (2024) highlight its role in enhancing motivation, promoting professional skills, facilitating higher-order thinking, encouraging collaborative problem-solving, and managing cognitive load. [17] Niclòs et al. (2024) emphasize the growing need for educators to develop their own creative capacities to effectively inspire students. [13] This aligns with findings from Tong (2024), who underscores creativity's dual function as both an instructional strategy and a key educational goal. [15]

International frameworks such as OECD Education 2030 and the United Nations Agenda 2030 further emphasize the relevance of creativity. These frameworks advocate for the integration of creative competencies alongside critical thinking and problem-solving to prepare learners for the challenges of the 21st century. [10]

Recent developments in generative artificial intelligence (GenAI), such as ChatGPT and Midjourney, are beginning to influence educational practices. Chiu (2024) notes that while GenAI shows promise in supporting teacher professional development, by offering innovative teaching ideas and instructional feedback, it currently falls short in adapting real-time teaching strategies. Nevertheless, the integration of AI into teaching, learning, assessment, and administration is reshaping educational practices and warrants further exploration. [7]

Complementing these findings, the systematic review by Samaniego et al. (2024) identifies originality, fluency, flexibility, and elaboration as core creative skills in art and design education. Their research highlights practical methodologies such as project-based learning, STEAM integration, and interdisciplinary approaches as particularly effective in fostering a participatory learning environment. These methods encourage collaboration, critical thinking, and hands-on experimentation, aligning with the broader educational goal of equipping learners with 21st-century competencies. [14]

Further support for interdisciplinary and artistic approaches comes from Bizoi & Bizoi (2024), who explored the intersection of creativity and economics education. Their study found that creative drawing exercises not only deepened students' engagement with economic concepts but also enhanced their confidence and innovative thinking. These results underscore the value of integrating artistic methods into traditionally analytical disciplines. [5]

From a policy perspective, institutional and governmental support for creative education is gaining traction. As reviewed by Astri & Farida (2024), various national and international initiatives, such as Indonesia's "Merdeka Belajar – Kampus Merdeka" (MBKM) curriculum and the European University Association's Creativity in Higher Education Project, underscore the global recognition of creativity as a core educational objective. These programs aim to provide student-centered, experiential learning environments that better prepare students for an unpredictable and innovation-driven labor market. [2]

Moreover, the rising demand for creativity in the job market, as highlighted by IBM (2010), PwC (2017), LinkedIn (Petrone, 2018), and the World Economic Forum (2020), further reinforces the importance of embedding creative thinking into higher education outcomes. Universities are

increasingly expected to produce graduates who are not only knowledgeable but also adaptable, innovative, and capable of addressing complex societal and economic challenges. [2]

Lecturers play a critical role in this ecosystem. Their ability to foster creative learning environments and meaningfully engage students directly influences the development of creative competencieS. However, as noted in the article by Astri & Farida (2024),, the conceptualization of creativity among educators remains diverse, indicating a need for more unified theoretical and methodological frameworks to guide future research and practice. [2]

Another significant dimension in the development of creative competencies in education is the integration of sustainability and innovation, as demonstrated by Kurt and Aschauer (2024) in their exploration of the Innovative FOCUS program. This initiative merges education for sustainable development (ESD) with creativity, problem-solving, and critical thinking. By embedding competencies such as systems thinking, anticipatory thinking, strategic action, and cooperation into educational contexts, the program enables students to become proactive agents of change. Its emphasis on divergent thinking, metacognition, team collaboration, and the use of creativity tools reflects a holistic approach that resonates with broader 21st-century educational goals. [11]

At the national policy level, Moldova's "Creative Moldova" program (Government Decision No. 187, 2024) exemplifies a strategic commitment to fostering creativity within institutional frameworks. One of its primary educational objectives is the enhancement of creative and entrepreneurial skills through curriculum reform, STEAM integration, and the establishment of centers of excellence. This illustrates a broader recognition of the creative industries' contribution to both educational reform and socio-economic development. The program underscores the importance of infrastructure, investment, and digital transformation as catalysts for systemic creativity. [17]

Creativity is also increasingly acknowledged as a cornerstone of entrepreneurship education. Muhammad, Rao, and Akram (2024) emphasize that perceived entrepreneurial thinking, rooted in creativity, opportunity recognition, risk-taking, and ambiguity tolerance, is essential for cultivating entrepreneurial intent. Their findings highlight the necessity of creating supportive environments in higher education that empower faculty and students alike to develop innovative capacities. However, institutional constraints can hinder these ambitions, underlining the need for a more enabling entrepreneurial ecosystem within academic settings. [12]

In parallel, the role of generative artificial intelligence (AI) in fostering creativity is rapidly evolving. AlAli and Wardat (2024) provide a comprehensive overview of the dual potential and challenges of AI integration in education. While tools like ChatGPT can personalize learning and enhance creative thinking, their use also raises concerns around ethics, privacy, and bias. The authors advocate for inclusive, human-centered design principles and sustained professional development for educators. As emphasized by Halaweh (2023), responsible AI implementation involves equipping students with critical digital literacy skills, such as evaluating AI outputs, conducting reverse searches, and generating original ideas in conjunction with AI assistance. These practices can foster deeper understanding and creative reflection, while also aligning with broader educational integrity standards. [1, 8]

Technology-enhanced creativity is further examined in Bereczki and Kárpáti's (2021) case study, which reveals that expert teachers' epistemic beliefs about creativity significantly shape their classroom practices. Their research emphasizes the importance of professional support for teachers in both creativity assessment and effective technology integration. The proposed classroom-grounded framework for fostering digital creativity provides practical guidance for bridging theory and instructional practice. Importantly, the study also points to the need for systemic change that accommodates creativity within standard curricula and assessment timelines. [4]

Lastly, the connection between mindfulness and creativity has emerged as an important area of inquiry. Henriksen, Richardson, and Shack (2020) argue that mindfulness practices—such as deliberate mind-wandering and reflective awareness—can foster cognitive conditions conducive to creativity. Their review identifies mindfulness as a potentially powerful educational tool that supports

not only creative thinking but also student wellbeing and emotional regulation. Purposeful inclusion of mindfulness in educational settings may thus serve as a complementary strategy for nurturing creativity across disciplines. [9]

3. Methodology

The methodology of the present article provides the approach to analyzing educational practices on the component of the creativity for the academic institutions for Moldova case. The qualitative data collection and analysis considerations targets the article's aims to the insights that can impact strategies and implications for fostering creativity in the Moldovan academic environment. The conclusions and recommendations will contribute to a deeper understanding of the eventual reforms in the sphere of promoting creativity advantages through creative and critical thinking skills.

4. Challenges in Moldova's Academic System Concerning Encouraging Creativity

The academic system in Moldova faces diverse challenges that limits the promotin and encouraging of creativity in the academic environment. These challenges have deep roots in educational practices, cultural and socio-economic factors.

Among the significant challenges is the rigid nature of the national curriculum, which often prioritizes rote learning and memorization over critical thinking and creative abilities of the pupils and students. Traditional teaching methods influence the ability of the students and the flexibility of the academic institutions to adapt instructions to the diverse learning needs of students. This approach not only discourages creative thinking but also diminishes students' motivation to engage deeply with the material. [3]

Moldova's academic institutions often compartmentalize subjects, resulting in a lack of interdisciplinary learning opportunities. This segmentation restricts students from drawing connections between different fields of study, which is essential for fostering creative thinking. Interdisciplinary projects can spark innovative ideas by encouraging students to approach problems from various perspectives and integrate knowledge across disciplines. The absence of such collaborative learning opportunities within Moldova's educational framework limits the development of holistic and creative thinkers. [3]

Educators in Moldova frequently lack access to ongoing professional development and training focused on creative pedagogical techniques. Many teachers are not equipped with the skills necessary to cultivate creativity in the classroom or to implement new teaching methodologies effectively. Without proper training and support, educators may feel discouraged or unable to experiment with innovative practices, further perpetuating a cycle of traditional teaching methods.

Socio-economic factors also play a crucial role in shaping the academic environment. Limited funding for educational institutions restricts resources for materials, technology, and extracurricular activities that promote creativity. Schools often struggle to provide modern tools and environments conducive to innovative learning. Additionally, students from disadvantaged backgrounds may face obstacles that hinder their engagement in creative learning experiences, such as a lack of access to enriching programs outside regular school hours. [6]

The research and innovation system in the Republic of Moldova faces a number of persistent and systemic challenges that hinder its development and international competitiveness. Low salaries and talent retention: remuneration in the research sector remains significantly below the national average and even lower than salaries in higher education teaching positions. This lack of financial attractiveness discourages researchers, particularly experienced professionals, from pursuing or continuing careers in Moldovan research institutions.

Outdated infrastructure and limited equipment: many research institutions are burdened with outdated infrastructure and lack access to the necessary equipment for conducting high-quality, performance-driven research. This creates a substantial barrier to experimental research and limits the scope of potential scientific inquiry.

Perception of research as execution, not creation: there persists a traditional view of research as an activity of execution, often carried out in response to a "state order," rather than as a creative and autonomous endeavor. Researchers are frequently perceived as implementers rather than innovators. In contrast, within OECD countries, creativity is a fundamental component of research, and the freedom of scientific inquiry is enshrined as a core academic right (Article 13 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union). [19]
Limited institutionalization of research in undergraduate and master's education. Research activities are not fully integrated into the first and second cycles of higher education. They typically emerge only during thesis development, limiting the continuity and depth of student engagement in research.
Insufficient public funding: the level of public investment in research and innovation remains critically low, just 0.23% of GDP, thereby constraining the system's capacity for growth and modernization. Restricted access to competitive grants, as researchers face limited access to funding for investigator-initiated projects. This restriction not only hampers academic freedom but also reduces the system's appeal to highly creative researchers who thrive on autonomy and intellectual exploration. [18]
Inability to invest in research infrastructure. In some fields, the infrastructure is so underdeveloped that entire directions of experimental research become unattainable. This deters participation from broad segments of the scientific community and reduces Moldova's overall research potential. [18]
Demographic decline and high emigration rates: Moldova is experiencing a demographic contraction, compounded by high levels of emigration, particularly among young people. These trends have resulted in a noticeable decline in student enrollment across the higher education sector. [18]
Lack of reliable data on private sector research: the private research sector remains underdeveloped and insufficiently documented. No official statistics or reliable data are available, despite the strategic necessity of positioning the private sector as a principal actor in innovation. [18]
Absence from international statistical frameworks: Moldova is largely absent from key international statistical databases and rankings, including those relating to triadic patent families (OECD), global university rankings, and the European Innovation Scoreboard. This is often due to non-quantifiable outputs or the failure to report data to relevant international bodies. [18]
Limited administrative capacity for policy implementation: institutions responsible for the development and implementation of research and innovation policy often face staffing shortages and lack the financial resources necessary to expand their administrative capacity or to outsource technical functions. [18]

5. Proposed Strategies for Enhancing Creativity for Academia

To foster creativity effectively for the academia in Moldova, several strategic approaches can be implemented. These strategies draw upon contemporary educational practices that emphasize interdisciplinary collaboration, project-based and experiential learning, technology integration, and comprehensive educator training and development. Each of these elements will contribute with cultivating a culture of creativity and innovation among students.
Interdisciplinary collaboration involves integrating knowledge and skills from various subject areas to address complex problems or projects. This strategy is essential for promoting creativity, as it encourages students to think beyond the confines of traditional subjects and develop a holistic understanding of issues.
The integration of the technology into the curriculum provides new avenues for creativity. Digital tools and platforms enable students to collaborate, create, and innovate in ways that were previously unattainable. By utilizing technology, educators can enhance the learning experience and encourage creative expression.
To effectively foster creativity in the classroom, educators themselves must be equipped with the knowledge, skills, and strategies necessary to implement innovative teaching practices. Ongoing

professional development is crucial in ensuring that teachers can cultivate a creative learning environment. Educators play a vital role in modeling creative thinking and providing opportunities for students to engage in creative endeavors. However, many teachers may not have received training in innovative pedagogies, which can limit their effectiveness in fostering creativity. [17, 18]
Professional development programs should focus on equipping educators with tools and techniques for promoting creativity, such as interdisciplinary teaching methods, project-based learning strategies, and the effective use of technology. Workshops, mentorship programs, and collaborative learning communities can support educators in continually developing their practice and sharing best practices. [17, 18]

8. Discussion

This chapter delves into the interpretation of findings related to the strategies for fostering creativity in Moldova's academic environment. By analyzing the data and documents, we can better understand the implications of these strategies, the challenges they might face, and how they can be approached. A strong consensus among stakeholders, including educators, students, and policymakers, on the importance of fostering creativity within the academic setting was considered. The key aspects are to be highlighted:

- Interdisciplinary collaboration towards a widespread recognition of the value of interdisciplinary approaches in promoting creativity. Projects that integrate multiple subjects not only engage students but also encourage them to apply knowledge in inventive ways.
- Project-based and experiential learning significantly enhances student engagement and retention of knowledge. Improved problem-solving skills and creativity when tasked with real-world projects are to be emphasized.
- The focus on incorporating technology into the curriculum appeare to resonate positively with both educators and students, as digital tools facilitate collaboration and creativity, making learning more accessible and dynamic. However, there are concerns about the readiness of both institutions and teachers to effectively implement these technologies.
- The need for continuous professional development and capacity building for educators.

9. Conclusions

In conclusion, the existing literature underscores the importance of creativity in academia, identifies barriers to its cultivation, and provides valuable strategies for promoting creative thinking among students. As educational institutions increasingly recognize the necessity of creativity for the future workforce, there is a growing imperative to implement strategies that effectively foster this essential skill.
The reviewed literature also converges on the notion that creativity is indispensable in contemporary education and academia life. Whether through digital tools, psychological theories, interdisciplinary methodologies, or supportive policy environments, fostering creativity is essential for preparing learners to thrive in a dynamic, complex, and increasingly creative economy.
The current challenges in Moldova's academic system present significant barriers to fostering creativity among students. Addressing these issues requires a comprehensive approach that includes revising curricula, promoting interdisciplinary learning, providing professional development for educators, and enhancing collaboration with industry. By recognizing and tackling these challenges, Moldova can create an academic environment that nurtures innovative thinkers capable of contributing meaningfully to society and the global economy.
Implementing these strategies, interdisciplinary collaboration, project-based and experiential learning, technology integration, and educator training, can significantly enhance creativity within the academic environment in Moldova. By fostering a culture that encourages innovative thinking and problem-solving, educational institutions can prepare students to thrive in an increasingly complex and dynamic world. [17, 18]

The discussion highlights the significance of fostering creativity within Moldova's academic environment while acknowledging the challenges inherent in this process. By interpreting the findings through the lens of stakeholder perspectives, it becomes clear that while there is a robust desire for change, careful attention must be paid to potential obstacles. Addressing these challenges through strategic planning, resource allocation, and cultural transformation will be crucial in successfully cultivating a more innovative and creative academic landscape in Moldova. [17, 18]

References

1. AlAli, R., & Yousef, W. (2024). *Opportunities and Challenges of Integrating Generative Artificial Intelligence in Education*. International Journal of Religion, 5(7).
2. Astri, S., & Farida, K. (2024). *Fostering Creativity in Higher Education Institutions: A Systematic Review* (2018–2022). De Gruyter, Open Education Studies.
3. Awada, S., (2022). *Comparison of Israel and Moldova of higher education labour market and its challenges.* Journal of Research on Trade, Management and Economic Development, 9, ISSUE 2(18)
4. Bereczki, O., & Karpati, A. (2021). *Technology-Enhanced Creativity: A Multiple Case Study of Digital Technology-Integration Expert Teachers' Beliefs and Practices.* Thinking Skills and Creativity, 39, 100791.
5. Bizoi, A. C., & Bizoi, C. G. (2024). *Creativity in Economics Education: An Interdisciplinary Experiment with Drawing*. Research Square.
6. Bogdan, L., (2025). *Transnational mobility in Moldova: Exploring socio-economic triggers for migration aspirations.* International migration, 63, e13358.
7. Chiu, T. K. F. (2024). *The Impact of Generative AI (GenAI) on Practices, Policies, and Research Direction in Education: A Case of ChatGPT and Midjourney*. Interactive Learning Environments.
8. Halaweh, M. (2023). *ChatGPT in Education: Strategies for Responsible Implementation.* Contemporary Educational Technology.
9. Henriksen, D., Richardson, C., & Shack, K. (2020). *Mindfulness and Creativity: Implications for Thinking and Learning.* Thinking Skills and Creativity, 37, 100689.
10. Isakov, A. (2024). *Fostering Creativity in Art Education Through Digital Tools*. Society and Innovations.
11. Kurt, H., & Aschauer, W. (2024). *Innovative FOCUS: A Program to Foster Creativity and Innovation in the Context of Education for Sustainability*. MDPI.
12. Muhammad, U., Rao, C., & Huma, A. (2024). *The Role of Perceived Entrepreneurial Thinking Towards Academic Entrepreneurial Intentions: Moderating Role of Entrepreneurial Environment.* Educational Research and Innovation, 4(4).
13. Niclòs, I., Pont, Sanz, Y., Echegoyen, Orozco Gómez, P., Ezpeleta, A., Martín. (2024). *Creativity and artificial intelligence: A study with prospective teachers*. Digital Education Review, ISSN-e 2013-9144, No. 45.
14. Samaniego, M., Usca, N., Salguero, J., & Quevedo, W. (2024). *Creative Thinking in Art and Design Education: A Systematic Review*. Education Sciences.
15. Tong, Q., (2024). *Creativity in the Digital Canvas: A Comprehensive Analysis of Art and Design Education Pedagogy*. International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications (IJACSA), 15(6).
16. Zhang, Q., Shi, B., Liu, Y. (2024) *The impact of educational digitalization on the creativity of students with special needs: the role of study crafting and creative selfefficacy.* Humanit Soc Sci Commun 11, 754.
17. Government of the Republic of Moldova, Decision No. 187 (2024) *on the Approval of the National Program for the Development of Creative Industries "Creative Moldova" for 2024-2027*. Official Gazette of the Republic of Moldova.
18. Government of the Republic of Moldova, Decision No. 1049 (2023) *on the approval of the National Program in the fields of research and innovation for the years 2024–2027.* Official Gazette of the Republic of Moldova.
19. European Union. (2000). *Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union* (2000/C 364/01). Official Journal of the European Communities, C 364, 1–22. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A12000P