DOI: *https://doi.org/10.53486/ser2025.01*
International Scientific and Practical Conference, 4th Edition, March 27-28, 2025, Chișinău, Moldova

EDUCATIONAL RESILIENCE THROUGH E-LEARNING: HOW HIGHER EDUCATION ADAPTS TO GLOBAL CHALLENGES

Tatiana BUCOS
PhD, Associate Professor
Academy of Economic Studies of Moldova, MOLDOVA
E-mail: bucos.tatiana@ase.md
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6448-6001

Abstract: *In recent years, universities around the world have had to rethink how they operate, responding to major challenges such as the COVID-19 pandemic, armed conflicts, and economic instability. This study explores how online education has evolved from a temporary solution adopted during crises into a continuously applied instrument, capable of ensuring educational resilience through the structural transformations involved in integrating digital learning into higher education. The article examines academic literature, institutional best practices, and regulatory adjustments that have accompanied the accelerated adoption of digital technologies in education. Particular attention is given to essential elements of e-learning, such as student-centered teaching, the development of flexible digital infrastructure, and policy frameworks that allow for rapid adaptation. The findings indicate that, when properly implemented, digital education can reduce inequalities, maintain academic cohesion, and provide students with real autonomy in unstable contexts.*

Keywords: *Educational resilience, E-learning, Higher education, Digital transformation.*

UDC: *378.018.43:004(100)*

Classification JEL: *I23, I28, O33.*

1. Introduction

In a world marked by instability, higher education has been forced to adapt rapidly to unexpected pressures such as pandemics, conflicts, and economic crises. These challenges have demonstrated that ensuring the continuity of teaching and learning during times of crisis can no longer be addressed in isolation but instead requires a comprehensive vision capable of supporting institutional resilience.

Initially adopted as an emergency measure, e-learning platforms have become fundamental tools used by academic institutions to organize their daily activities. This article is grounded in the idea that digital transition is not merely a technological shift within universities, but a profound reorganization of educational processes. The study analyzes key elements that support this institutional transformation: digital infrastructure, pedagogical approaches, and regulatory frameworks.

2. Literature Review

The growing literature on digital education and resilience in higher education has coalesced around several key themes: the transformative potential of online learning, the necessity of institutional adaptability, and the complex interplay between student agency, pedagogy, and technological infrastructure during times of crisis.

Several studies have examined how universities worldwide responded to the COVID-19 pandemic by rapidly transitioning to e-learning. Wang (2024) underscores that fostering digital communities, offering personalized support, and embracing inclusive design are vital in enhancing educational resilience during crises [1]. Similarly, Aggarwal et al. (2021) identify faculty engagement and pedagogical innovation as decisive factors in facilitating successful transitions to digital formats [2].

DOI: *https://doi.org/10.53486/ser2025.01*
International Scientific and Practical Conference, 4th Edition, March 27-28, 2025, Chișinău, Moldova

Eri et al. (2021) provide student-centered insights, noting that learners from Australia and Asia demonstrated varying levels of digital resilience, with institutional support playing a critical mediating role [3]. Complementing this perspective, Kumalasari and Akmal (2020) emphasize that online learning satisfaction is directly linked to academic resilience, particularly when students are equipped with the necessary readiness for digital study [4].

Beyond the pandemic, broader discussions highlight the strategic imperative for universities to embrace digital transformation. Lane et al. (2014) argue that institutional flexibility and technological innovation are fundamental for higher education to remain competitive in a knowledge-driven economy [5]. Karim et al. (2024) extend this argument by documenting the expansion of blended learning and virtual collaboration tools as mechanisms to address socio-economic disparities in access [6].

From a pedagogical lens, Motz et al. (2023) and Bozkurt (2022) underscore the role of digital systems in fostering inclusive and adaptive learning environments [7], [8]. These systems, they argue, must be embedded in broader efforts to promote quality and equity in education, reinforcing digital competencies as a core dimension of institutional strategy. Sánchez Ruiz et al. (2021), examining a blended learning model in Spain, confirm that hybrid formats and digital tools significantly enhance students' adaptability, especially in technical disciplines [9].

Importantly, the literature also draws attention to the emergence of new student identities and forms of agency within virtual spaces. The work of Motz et al. (2022) highlights how digital communities, forged during times of isolation, became channels for collective resilience and protest, reshaping the dynamics of engagement and participation in higher education [10].

In synthesis, the reviewed studies converge on a shared conclusion: e-learning is no longer an auxiliary option, but a strategic platform for educational resilience. Its effectiveness depends on multidimensional readiness - pedagogical, technological, and institutional - supported by continuous innovation, equity-driven policy, and responsiveness to learner needs.

3. Methodology

This study applies a qualitative research design, relying primarily on document analysis to explore how digital education contributes to institutional resilience in higher education. The research synthesizes relevant academic literature, policy documents, institutional reports, and international frameworks to identify patterns, challenges, and emerging strategies.

Sources were selected from peer-reviewed journals, policy databases, and global educational organizations such as UNESCO, OECD, the European Commission, and the World Bank. Emphasis was placed on recent publications (2020–2024) that examine the intersection between digital transformation and crisis response in academic settings.

The methodological approach aims to offer a comparative lens across diverse higher education contexts. The selection of examples and policies spans institutions and systems from both developed and developing countries, allowing for a broader understanding of how resilience manifests under varying structural and socioeconomic conditions.

The analysis does not seek to produce statistical generalizations but rather to highlight key features and strategies that support adaptability and continuity in digital learning. Where applicable, the study also draws from case studies and strategic education recovery plans published in response to the COVID-19 pandemic and ongoing systemic disruptions.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.53486/ser2025.01
International Scientific and Practical Conference, 4th Edition, March 27-28, 2025, Chișinău, Moldova

This approach ensures that the findings are grounded in documented practice and policy, while also providing conceptual insights that may guide future educational planning and implementation.

4. Results and Discussion

Learner Resilience and the Democratization of Access Through E-Learning

The growing complexity of global systemic challenges - from the COVID-19 pandemic and regional conflicts to climate-induced displacement and economic instability - has intensified the urgency of ensuring inclusive, continuous, and adaptable access to education. In such conditions, e-learning has emerged as more than a digital alternative; it now serves as an instrument for educational justice and personal resilience.

Learner resilience in this context refers to the capacity of students to pursue and sustain education despite external disruptions. E-learning supports this capacity by offering geographic flexibility, asynchronous availability, and scalable cost-efficiency, thereby reducing dependence on vulnerable physical infrastructures. For learners located in remote or conflict-affected regions, or for those facing economic hardship or forced migration, digital education becomes a critical gateway to continuity and progression.

Moreover, by enabling access to structured learning environments even during global emergencies, e-learning contributes to psychological stability and long-term academic identity. It allows displaced or marginalized students to maintain a sense of direction, agency, and belonging - essential attributes of resilience.

E-Learning Platforms Addressing Educational Resilience Challenges

Digital platforms have become essential instruments for supporting educational resilience by ensuring continuity, accessibility, and adaptability. Beyond their use in traditional classrooms, many e-learning solutions have been designed to address structural inequalities and respond to the needs of specific vulnerable groups.

The table below presents a comparative overview of several prominent platforms developed to ensure educational continuity during times of crisis or for marginalized populations. These initiatives exemplify how digital infrastructure can be leveraged to address geographic displacement, incarceration, disability, and other forms of exclusion.

Table 1. E-Learning platforms addressing specific educational resilience tasks

Platform	Country / Sponsor	Primary Function	Target Group	Access Features	Official Link
All-Ukrainian Online School	Ukraine / Ministry of Education	National e-learning during war and displacement	Displaced students, secondary level	Asynchronous, multilingual, mobile-optimized	lms.e-school.net.ua
DIKSHA	India / Ministry of Education	Public e-learning aligned to national curricula	School learners, teachers	Multilingual, low bandwidth, adaptive modules	diksha.gov.in
Learning Passport	UNICEF & Microsoft	Curriculum-aligned digital education for crisis settings	Refugees, migrants	Web and mobile, offline-first capability	www.learningpassport.org
Recomeçar Platform	Brazil / Ministry of Education	E-learning platform aligned with national curriculum targeting socially vulnerable groups	Economically disadvantaged learners	Modular courses, mobile access, free certification	avamec.mec.gov.br
Rumie LearnCloud	Rumie Initiative (Global)	Microlearning via low-data mobile platform	Marginalize, low-connectivity	Mobile-first, offline support, community-tailored	rumie.org

Source: Developed by author

DOI: *https://doi.org/10.53486/ser2025.01*
International Scientific and Practical Conference, 4th Edition, March 27-28, 2025, Chișinău, Moldova

Each platform highlighted above addresses an education continuity gap with e-learning infrastructure tailored to meet contextual needs. The All-Ukrainian Online School enables nationwide curriculum access for displaced students affected by war. DIKSHA powers national digital education in India through mobile-friendly, multilingual platforms for both learners and educators. Kolibri and Rumie offer solutions for areas where internet access is unreliable or unaffordable - turning mobile phones and offline servers into pathways to structured learning.

The Learning Passport, developed with support from Microsoft, is a relevant example of how digital platforms can address the educational needs of children in crisis situations. Designed to function even in environments with limited internet access, it offers tailored, curriculum-aligned content that can be deployed quickly in emergencies.

What makes these platforms effective is the way they combine thoughtful content design with robust technological infrastructure. Their role goes beyond simply maintaining continuity - they actively widen access to education for learners in marginalized or hard-to-reach contexts.

E-learning today is no longer about simply moving lessons online. It is about meeting real educational challenges: offering continuity for children displaced by conflict, reaching students in remote regions, supporting those with disabilities, and ensuring learning continues even in places like detention facilities. Each of these platforms was created with a clear understanding that educational resilience must be planned in advance and shaped according to the type of disruption it seeks to address.

In Ukraine, for example, the All-Ukrainian Online School allowed students to continue following the national curriculum even while living in shelters or across borders. Platforms like DIKSHA and Kolibri have proven essential in reaching rural students with poor connectivity. Meanwhile, initiatives like Recomeçar or the Prison Education Project tackle some of the least visible forms of exclusion - those related to disability or incarceration. The Learning Passport, coordinated by UNICEF, addresses the needs of displaced children in humanitarian contexts.

Together, these examples show that the true strength of e-learning lies not just in its technological sophistication, but in how well it adapts to real-world conditions. Digital education, when used thoughtfully, becomes a flexible tool for restoring, sustaining, and expanding access to learning where it is most at risk.

This role - supporting equity and empowering learners - is at the heart of a broader discussion on how digital education systems should be designed to serve students during and beyond times of crisis.

Methodological Design for Student-Centered E-Learning

To build a resilient digital learning environment, it is essential to focus not just on content, but on the path each student takes through their education. A learner-centered system offers more than access - it creates space for autonomy, flexibility, and steady engagement over time.

Modern online education often uses modular structures, breaking down courses into smaller learning units. This makes it easier for students to manage their time, revisit material when needed, and fit their studies around personal or professional responsibilities. Such organization helps avoid overwhelming learners and allows them to move through content at a rhythm that suits their circumstances.

To keep learning consistent, many platforms blend live sessions with recorded content and asynchronous activities. This is particularly valuable for students working

DOI: https://doi.org/10.53486/ser2025.01
International Scientific and Practical Conference,
4th Edition, March 27-28, 2025, Chișinău, Moldova

jobs, living in different time zones, or caring for family members. Recorded lectures, discussion forums, and adaptive tests help them stay connected and involved.

Digital tools also make it easier to understand how students are doing. Learning platforms now monitor engagement levels and activity data, allowing universities to spot students who may be struggling and offer timely support. These features, built on feedback and data, help learners stay motivated and feel confident in their progress.

The use of multimedia - like videos, interactive exercises, or even virtual and augmented reality - can also make lessons more engaging. These tools support different learning styles and help create inclusive spaces where students with varied needs can thrive.

Finally, support systems embedded within platforms - like chat help, peer forums, or automated reminders - give students a sense of presence and support. Whether it's a quick answer to a technical issue or encouragement through peer collaboration, these tools humanize the learning process and remind students they're not alone.

Together, these elements constitute a methodological ecosystem designed to cultivate learner resilience in an unpredictable world.

Technical solutions and the architecture of student-centric e-learning

To build a resilient digital learning environment, it is essential to focus not just on content, but on the path each student takes through their education. A learner-centered system offers more than access - it creates space for autonomy, flexibility, and steady engagement over time.

Modern online education often uses modular structures, breaking down courses into smaller learning units. This makes it easier for students to manage their time, revisit material when needed, and fit their studies around personal or professional responsibilities. Such organization helps avoid overwhelming learners and allows them to move through content at a rhythm that suits their circumstances.

To keep learning consistent, many platforms blend live sessions with recorded content and asynchronous activities. This is particularly valuable for students working jobs, living in different time zones, or caring for family members. Recorded lectures, discussion forums, and adaptive tests help them stay connected and involved.

Digital tools also make it easier to understand how students are doing. Learning platforms now monitor engagement levels and activity data, allowing universities to spot students who may be struggling and offer timely support. These features, built on feedback and data, help learners stay motivated and feel confident in their progress.

The use of multimedia - like videos, interactive exercises, or even virtual and augmented reality - can also make lessons more engaging. These tools support different learning styles and help create inclusive spaces where students with varied needs can thrive.

Finally, support systems embedded within platforms - like chat help, peer forums, or automated reminders - give students a sense of presence and support. Whether it's a quick answer to a technical issue or encouragement through peer collaboration, these tools humanize the learning process and remind students they're not alone.

Taken together, these elements form a well-rounded digital approach that helps students learn effectively, even in unpredictable or difficult situations.

The global shift to e-learning in higher education during crises

The COVID-19 pandemic catalyzed an unprecedented and nearly universal shift in higher education delivery. According to UNESCO, over 1.6 billion learners worldwide were impacted by institutional closures in 2020, leading to the rapid adoption of digital learning environments (UNESCO, 2021). E-learning, once seen as complementary, became the primary – often sole – mode of educational continuity.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.53486/ser2025.01
International Scientific and Practical Conference, 4th Edition, March 27-28, 2025, Chișinău, Moldova

This transition was reflected in the explosive growth of major digital learning platforms:

- Moodle reported a 145% increase in new installations during March-June 2020, and a 500% rise in global traffic during the first half of the pandemic (Moodle Statistics, 2021).
- Google Classroom's user base surged from 40 million to over 100 million by April 2020 (Google for Education, 2020).
- Microsoft Teams for Education reached 270 million monthly active users by early 2022 (Microsoft, 2022).

According to a joint World Bank, UNESCO, and UNICEF report, over 90% of higher education institutions in high-income countries transitioned to online instruction within the first two months of the pandemic (World Bank, 2021). Even in lower-income regions, many institutions rapidly adopted hybrid or asynchronous learning models, leveraging platforms such as Zoom, Canvas, and Google Meet, often with support from international donors and private sector initiatives.

This global shift marked not only a logistical adaptation, but also a pedagogical and institutional transformation. Universities invested in digital infrastructure, faculty training, and student support systems at an unprecedented rate, laying the foundation for more resilient and inclusive education systems moving forward.

In addition to the COVID-19 pandemic, several other global crises have significantly accelerated the integration of e-learning into higher education systems.

For instance, the war in Ukraine has led to the displacement of more than 5 million people, including tens of thousands of university students. In response, Ukrainian universities transitioned to digital platforms such as the All-Ukrainian Online School and adapted Moodle environments to sustain academic programs remotely (European Commission, 2022).

Climate-induced disasters are also increasingly shaping the need for resilient digital education. According to the Internal Displacement Monitoring Centre (IDMC), over 32 million people were displaced by natural disasters in 2022 alone – many from countries with fragile education infrastructure. Universities in regions prone to wildfires, floods, or droughts (e.g., California, Bangladesh, the Philippines) have adopted hybrid and cloud-based e-learning systems to prevent academic disruption (IDMC, 2023).

In contexts of economic crisis and austerity, such as Lebanon or Venezuela, universities have resorted to low-bandwidth platforms, including mobile-based learning and asynchronous content delivery, to address tuition affordability and digital access (UNESCO GEM Report, 2023).

In Iran, political instability and suppression of academic freedom have spurred underground digital classrooms supported by international partnerships, where scholars in exile offer online courses through VPN-secured LMS platforms (Scholars at Risk Network, 2022).

These cases highlight how universities have used e-learning not only reactively but proactively – in response to both global and regional disruptions – to redesign their institutional models to withstand geopolitical, environmental, and socioeconomic stressors.

From emergency digitalization to strategic transformation

The strategic embrace of e-learning by higher education institutions has been significantly influenced by measurable shifts in global student behavior and institutional investment following the COVID-19 crisis. According to Statista (2023), the number of global users of e-learning platforms increased by over 200% between 2019 and 2021. In the United States, the National Center for Education Statistics (2022) reported that more

DOI: https://doi.org/10.53486/ser2025.01
International Scientific and Practical Conference, 4th Edition, March 27-28, 2025, Chișinău, Moldova

than 60% of students were enrolled in at least one online course in 2021, up from 37% in 2019. The number of students studying entirely online more than doubled—from 3.3 million in 2019 to 7 million in 2021. Similarly, a Eurostat survey (2022) found that over 50% of university students in the European Union participated in online learning during the pandemic, and 32% continued to engage in hybrid or fully online education in 2022.

In the aftermath of crisis-driven digital transitions, many universities have deliberately reoriented their institutional strategies to place e-learning at the core of their long-term development plans. This strategic transformation reflects a growing recognition that digital education is not merely a reactive solution, but a proactive lever for academic resilience, scalability, and inclusivity.

The University of Maryland Global Campus (UMGC) in the United States exemplifies this vision, operating entirely online and serving over 90,000 students across 20 countries. Its mission focuses on accessible education for adult learners and working professionals, supported by a comprehensive digital infrastructure.

Southern New Hampshire University (SNHU), also in the U.S., has built one of the largest online student bodies in the world - more than 135,000 learners - through a flexible, student-centered model. Its programs integrate adaptive learning technologies and emphasize affordability, modularity, and learner autonomy.

In Canada, Université TÉLUQ stands out as a francophone institution committed entirely to distance education. As part of the Université du Québec network, it serves underserved and geographically dispersed populations, using online modalities to uphold curriculum alignment and academic integrity.

The UK's Open University stands as a longstanding model of fully online higher education, enrolling over 170,000 students annually. Its sustained investment in initiatives such as AI-assisted tutoring systems and virtual laboratory environments reflects a proactive approach to maintaining quality and relevance in a digital context.

In Spain, the Open University of Catalonia (UOC) has furthered its digital trajectory by embedding artificial intelligence tools and modular learning formats that allow for greater flexibility and personalization. With a student body exceeding 85,000, UOC illustrates how digital models can be scaled without compromising pedagogical depth.

Athabasca University in Canada has institutionalized its digital direction through the strategic plan "Imagine: Transforming Lives, Transforming Communities," emphasizing online education as a vehicle for equity and institutional outreach. Similarly, Germany's FernUniversität in Hagen, serving more than 70,000 learners, is recognized for advancing adult and lifelong learning through blended and online modalities.

Taken together, these examples show that universities are not merely preserving emergency measures adopted during times of crisis. Instead, they are actively reimagining their organizational missions through the lens of digital education - building systems that are adaptive, student-oriented, and resilient to future disruptions.

Regulatory infrastructures for scalable and trustworthy e-learning in higher education

A coherent and supportive legal and policy framework is essential for embedding digital learning in a sustainable way within higher education. Both institutions and national authorities have faced the complex task of adapting regulations to meet the rapid uptake of technology, while also preserving academic integrity, safeguarding student data, and ensuring consistent quality standards.

Many countries have recently introduced regulatory frameworks connected to e-learning. In the United States, new Department of Education rules (2026) will require institutions to report outcomes for fully online programs as distinct compliance units.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.53486/ser2025.01
International Scientific and Practical Conference, 4th Edition, March 27-28, 2025, Chișinău, Moldova

Canada's Ontario region enforces mandatory web accessibility in higher education through its Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA). The UK's Data Protection Act 2018, alongside GDPR, reinforces protections for student data, while Germany's copyright reform (2018) expanded permissible educational uses of third-party content online, aligning with broader EU data rules. Australia embedded online education directly into its 2021 Higher Education Standards Framework, enforcing technology-neutral compliance obligations. Estonia legislated quality standards for digital education in its Higher Education Act (2019). South Korea expanded its privacy legislation (PIPA, 2023) to include learning analytics, within the broader framework of its Digital-Based Distance Education Act (2021). In South Africa, the Protection of Personal Information Act (2013) and the Open-Learning Policy (2017/18) provide the foundation for data governance and academic quality in online higher education.

Table 2. Overview of key regulatory instruments governing e-learning in higher education

Country	Latest Pivotal Update (Year)	Statutory Focus (Abbreviated)
USA	DoE "virtual location" rule (2026)	QA, DP, AC
Canada	AODA enforcement (2005)	QA, DP, AC
UK	DPA 2018 + GDPR	QA, DP, AC
Germany	UrhG education exceptions (2018)	QA, DP, IP, AC
Australia	HES Framework (Threshold Standards) 2021	QA, DP, AC
Estonia	Higher Education Act 2019	QA, DP, AC
South Korea	PIPA amendment 2023 + Distance-Ed Framework 2021	QA, DP, IP, AC
South Africa	POPIA 2013 + Open-Learning Policy 2017/18	QA, DP, AC

Source: Developed by author

Key: QA = Quality/Accreditation; DP = Data Protection; IP = Intellectual Property; AC = Accessibility

A qualitative analysis of these examples points to a converging global trend in which governments are increasingly positioning e-learning as a stable and integrated component of higher education. Across different national contexts, quality assurance and data protection emerge as common pillars - essential to upholding academic standards, reinforcing institutional accountability, and maintaining learner trust in virtual environments. Legislative frameworks related to accessibility are now moving beyond advisory norms, imposing enforceable standards such as the Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG). Similarly, copyright and intellectual property regulations have been updated to support lawful digital content creation and transnational sharing.

These legal structures no longer function as reactive instruments developed in response to events like the COVID-19 pandemic. Instead, they represent deliberate strategies aimed at reinforcing inclusive, reliable, and forward-looking university ecosystems. Regulation has thus evolved from being an ancillary requirement to becoming a fundamental element of institutional design - supporting the sustainable expansion of e-learning through structure, transparency, and equity.

European Union's Digital Education Action Plan (2021–2027): higher education priorities

The European Union's Digital Education Action Plan (DEAP) 2021–2027 represents a key strategic policy aimed at accelerating innovation and strengthening resilience in education systems, with higher education placed at the heart of its vision. Organized around two main objectives - fostering a dynamic digital education ecosystem

DOI: https://doi.org/10.53486/ser2025.01
International Scientific and Practical Conference,
4th Edition, March 27-28, 2025, Chișinău, Moldova

and advancing digital skills and literacy - the plan introduces a comprehensive set of measures tailored to universities across EU member states.

As a first priority, DEAP promotes the development of a high-quality digital infrastructure for higher education. This includes the establishment of European Digital Education Hubs and the enhancement of interoperable systems through initiatives such as Erasmus+ and Horizon Europe. These programs support academic cooperation across borders and enable virtual mobility, while contributing to the mutual recognition of online courses and academic credits between countries.

The second core focus is capacity-building among both students and academic staff through the cultivation of digital competences. DEAP endorses the use of frameworks like DigComp and DigCompEdu, and encourages the implementation of tools for institutional self-assessment and benchmarking. Instruments such as SELFIE for higher education allow institutions to evaluate their preparedness and improve faculty development in digital teaching and learning.

A third significant dimension of the plan addresses the promotion of open education, micro-credentials, and flexible learning pathways. The European Framework for Micro-Credentials, adopted in 2022, provides a foundation for recognizing modular, stackable forms of learning - enhancing accessibility for adult learners, marginalized groups, and individuals balancing study with employment. At the same time, DEAP advocates for broader availability of quality open educational resources (OERs), support for multilingual materials, and the interoperability of digital learning platforms.

These priorities are not merely aspirational. By aligning access to EU funding with compliance to DEAP's strategic directions, the European Commission ensures strong institutional uptake. Universities that align their digital policies and practices with DEAP are more likely to benefit from structural support, cross-border partnerships, and international visibility.

Table 3. DEAP provisions relevant to higher education

Strategic Area	Key Provisions
Digital Infrastructure	European digital spaces, cross-border accreditation, Erasmus+, Horizon Europe
Competency Development	DigComp/DigCompEdu, self-assessment tools, CPD, digital literacy funding
Micro-Credentials & Flexibility	European framework (2022), stackable credentials, lifelong learning inclusion
Open Education & OER	MOOC support, multilingual content, interoperability of platforms
Institutional Alignment	Incentives for digital strategy integration in EU-funded universities

Source: Developed by author

Together, these actions position DEAP as a transformative force in the European higher education landscape, enabling universities to modernize curricula, empower educators and learners, and support equitable access to education through digitally enabled means.

5. Conclusions

Digital education has evolved into more than just a stopgap for emergencies. When carefully developed, it becomes a practical and reliable way for universities to stay open and responsive, no matter the situation.

Its strength lies in how well it fits into students' real lives. Whether someone is learning from a rural area, managing work and family, or navigating personal difficulties,

DOI: https://doi.org/10.53486/ser2025.01
International Scientific and Practical Conference, 4th Edition, March 27-28, 2025, Chișinău, Moldova

digital tools help them keep moving forward. They provide structure when things are uncertain and help students feel in control of their own learning.

But for e-learning to genuinely support long-term resilience, it needs to offer more than content online. Flexibility, timely support, and accessible systems are essential. That means building not just good platforms, but also training, policies, and infrastructure that truly support students and educators alike.

Looking ahead, digital learning is set to be a key part of how universities prepare for the future. It also offers the chance to shape a more inclusive and student-centered approach - one built on fairness, independence, and real access to education.

6. References

1. Wang, Z. *Educational Resilience Amidst COVID-19: Global Insights and Strategies*. New Zealand Journal of Teachers' Work. [online]. 2024. Available at: https://doi.org/10.24135/teacherswork.v21i1.628 [Accessed on 17 March 2025].
2. Aggarwal, R., Verma, T., & Chhabra, R. *Resilience by Academic Institutions During COVID-19: A Review-Based Study*. In Handbook of Research on Lessons Learned From Transitioning to Virtual Classrooms During a Pandemic. [online]. 2021. pp. 105–121. Available at: https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-7231-3.CH007 [Accessed on 17 March 2025].
3. Eri, R., Gudimetla, P., Star, S., et al. *Digital resilience in higher education in response to COVID-19 pandemic: Student Perceptions from Asia and Australia*. Journal of University Teaching & Learning Practice. [online]. 2021. 18(5). Available at: https://doi.org/10.14453/JUTLP.V18I5.7 [Accessed on 17 March 2025].
4. Kumalasari, D., & Akmal, S. Z. *Resiliensi akademik dan kepuasan belajar daring di masa pandemi COVID-19: Peran mediasi kesiapan belajar daring*. Persona: Jurnal Psikologi Indonesia. [online]. 2020. 9(2), 237–251. Available at: https://doi.org/10.30996/PERSONA.V9I2.4139 [Accessed on 17 March 2025].
5. Lane, K. E., Lemoine, P. A., Tinney, T. M., et al. *Modify and Adapt: Global Higher Education in a Changing Economy*. International Journal of Innovation in the Digital Economy. [online]. 2014. 5(2), 17–29. Available at: https://doi.org/10.4018/IJIDE.2014040103 [Accessed on 17 March 2025].
6. Karim, A. M., Chowdhury, T. I., & Karim, A. M. *Study on Comparative Adaption of Educational Tools in the Institute of Higher Education: Post Pandemic Perspective*. International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences. [online]. 2024. 14(1), 325–338. Available at: https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v14-i1/20461 [Accessed on 17 March 2025].
7. Motz, R., Porta, M., & Reategui, E. B. *Building Resilient Educational Systems: The Power of Digital Technologies*. In Lecture Notes in Educational Technology. [online]. 2023. pp. 367–377. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-7353-8_28 [Accessed on 17 March 2025].
8. Bozkurt, A. *Resilience, Adaptability, and Sustainability of Higher Education: A systematic Mapping Study on the Impact of the Coronavirus (Covid-19) Pandemic and the Transition to the New Normal*. Journal of Learning for Development. [online]. 2022. 9(1), 1–18. Available at: https://doi.org/10.56059/jl4d.v9i1.590 [Accessed on 17 March 2025].
9. Sánchez Ruiz, L. M., Moll-López, S., Moraño-Fernández, J. A., & Llobet Riera, I. *B-Learning and Technology: Enablers for University Education Resilience. An Experience Case under COVID-19 in Spain*. Sustainability. [online]. 2021. 13(6), 3532. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/SU13063532 [Accessed on 17 March 2025].
10. Motz, R., Porta, M., & Reategui, E. B. *Online Learning and the Pedagogy of Resilience, Agency and Protest: Lessons from the COVID-19 Experience*. In Online Education and Society During the Pandemic. [online]. 2022. pp. 195–208. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-3258-8_14 [Accessed on 17 March 2025].
11. National Center for Education Statistics (NCES). *Distance learning in U.S. higher education*. [online]. 2022. Available at: https://nces.ed.gov/ [Accessed on 13 February 2025].

DOI: https://doi.org/10.53486/ser2025.01
International Scientific and Practical Conference, 4th Edition, March 27-28, 2025, Chișinău, Moldova

12. Government of Ontario. *Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act*. [online]. 2005. Available at: https://www.ontario.ca/laws/statute/05a11 [Accessed on 13 February 2025].
13. UK Parliament. *Data Protection Act 2018*. [online]. 2018. Available at: https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2018/12/contents [Accessed on 15 February 2025].
14. European Union. *General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR)*. [online]. 2016. Available at: https://gdpr-info.eu/ [Accessed on 15 February 2025].
15. Bundesrepublik Deutschland. *Urheberrechts-Wissensgesellschafts-Gesetz – §§60a–60h UrhG*. [online]. 2018. Available at: https://www.gesetze-im-internet.de/urhg/ [Accessed on 15 February 2025].
16. Australian Government – TEQSA. *Higher Education Standards Framework (Threshold Standards) 2021*. [online]. 2021. Available at: https://www.teqsa.gov.au/how-we-regulate/higher-education-standards-framework-2021 [Accessed on 15 February 2025].
17. Republic of Estonia. *Higher Education Act*. [online]. 2019. Available at: https://www.riigiteataja.ee/en/eli/529082019022/consolide [Accessed on 15 February 2025].
18. Government of South Korea. *Personal Information Protection Act (PIPA), Amended 2023*. [online]. 2023. Available at: https://elaw.klri.re.kr/eng_service/lawView.do?hseq=53044&lang=ENG [Accessed on 15 February 2025].
19. Republic of Korea. *Framework Act on Digital-Based Distance Education*. [online]. 2021. Available at: https://elaw.klri.re.kr/eng_mobile/viewer.do?hseq=61042&type=sogan&key=2 [Accessed on 15 February 2025].
20. South African Department of Higher Education and Training (DHET). *Open Learning Policy Framework*. [online]. 2017. Available at: https://www.dhet.gov.za/ [Accessed on 15 February 2025].
21. European Commission. *Digital Education Action Plan 2021–2027*. [online]. 2020. Available at: https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=legissum:4617905 [Accessed on 10 March 2025].
22. European Commission. *Proposal for a Council Recommendation on micro-credentials*. [online]. 2022. Available at: https://education.ec.europa.eu/education-levels/higher-education/micro-credentials [Accessed on 10 March 2025].
23. European Commission. *SELFIE for Higher Education*. [online]. [n.d.]. Available at: https://education.ec.europa.eu/selfie [Accessed on 10 March 2025].
24. Universitat Oberta de Catalunya (UOC). *Annual Report 2023*. [online]. 2023. Available at: https://www.uoc.edu/portal/en/metodologia-online-qualitat/estrategia/memories/memoria_2324/index.html [Accessed on 10 March 2025].
25. FernUniversität in Hagen. *Facts and Figures*. [online]. 2023. Available at: https://www.fernuni-hagen.de/english/university/facts-and-figures.shtml [Accessed on 10 March 2025].
26. UNESCO. *Global Education Monitoring Report: Technology in Education*. [online]. 2023. Available at: https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000385723 [Accessed on 10 March 2025].
27. Scholars at Risk. *Free to Think 2022 Report*. [online]. 2022. Available at: https://www.scholarsatrisk.org/resources/free-to-think-2022/ [Accessed on 17 March 2025].
28. UNESCO. *Education: From disruption to recovery*. [online]. 2021. Available at: https://scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=3023407 [Accessed on 17 March 2025].
29. Google for Education. *Expanding access to learning*. [online]. 2020. Available at: https://edu.google.com/our-values/our-commitment/ [Accessed on 17 March 2025].
30. Microsoft. *Microsoft Education Blog: Teams usage statistics*. [online]. 2022. Available at: https://learn.microsoft.com/en-us/microsoft-365/admin/activity-reports/microsoft-teams-usage-activity?view=o365-worldwide [Accessed on 17 March 2025].
31. World Bank; UNESCO; UNICEF. *The State of the Global Education Crisis: A Path to Recovery*. [online]. 2021. Available at: https://documents.worldbank.org/en/publication/documents-reports/documentdetail/416991638768297704/ [Accessed on 17 April 2025].