

BUILDING SUPPLY CHAIN RESILIENCE AND ANTIFRAGILITY: STRATEGIES AND TECHNOLOGIES FOR MITIGATING DISRUPTIONS

Iulia IVAȘCENCO*¹

Abstract: *This paper examines the role of redundancy and robust supplier relationships in enhancing supply chain resilience, specifically focusing on the cosmetics industry. It explores strategic redundancy measures such as maintaining safety stock levels, diversifying transportation modes, strategic distribution center locations, and collaborating with backup suppliers. The study further discusses the importance of robust supplier relationships and details strategies to strengthen these relationships, including effective communication, collaborative planning, aligning goals, and fair and ethical treatment. To illustrate these concepts, the paper leverages case studies from global corporations, including Unilever, Estée Lauder, Toyota, Nike, Coca-Cola, Procter & Gamble, Apple, and Walmart. Conclusively, it provides future outlooks and actionable recommendations for enhancing resilience in the cosmetics industry's supply chain.*

Keywords: *supply chain management, antifragility, resilience, risk management, technology.*

UDC: 687.5:005.552

JEL Code: O14, Q55, L25, D22.

Introduction

In the cosmetics industry's vibrant and rapidly evolving domain, the strategic management of increasingly complex supply chains is paramount (Pereira de Carvalho & Barbieri, 2012). This industry's global reach has amplified the supply chains' complexity and simultaneously elevated their vulnerability to a diverse range of disruptions. As a result, each facet of the supply chain, encompassing raw materials sourcing, manufacturing, packaging, and distribution, is laden with unique challenges and potential points of failure (Frederico, 2021).

Recent global incidents, encompassing natural disasters, geopolitical conflicts, and the COVID-19 pandemic, have underlined the pressing need for supply chains that are resilient and antifragile—capable of surviving and thriving amid disruptions (Taleb, 2012). This pressing concern forms the crux of the research problem this study aims to address: How can cosmetics companies fortify their supply chains to ensure their resilience and antifragility in the face of potential disruptions?

Flowing from this research problem, the study poses the following questions: What strategies can be employed to reinforce the resilience and antifragility of supply chains within the cosmetics industry? How can redundancy be effectively built into these supply

*¹ Ivașenco Iulia, Ph.D. Student, Academy of Economic Studies of Moldova, ORCID: 0009-0005-2427-289X

chains? What role do robust supplier relationships play in this context, and how can they be cultivated and sustained? The investigation of these questions, illuminated by real-world examples, forms the core of this study.

1. Methodology

As this study is conceptual in nature, the methodology employed is fundamentally based on extensive literature reviews and the analysis of theoretical frameworks rather than relying on empirical qualitative research or specific case studies. This study's primary aim is to elucidate the concepts of redundancy and robust supplier relationships within the cosmetics industry's supply chain.

In order to achieve this aim, an integrative literature review approach was adopted. This approach facilitated a comprehensive exploration of extant literature spanning diverse but related fields, thereby ensuring the holistic capture of all pertinent conceptual perspectives and theoretical constructs (Torraco, 2005). The literature review extended across academic databases such as JSTOR, PubMed, and Google Scholar, incorporating both peer-reviewed academic articles and industry reports. Search criteria included combinations of keywords such as "cosmetics industry," "supply chain," "resilience," "antifragility," "redundancy," and "supplier relationships."

In addition, this study employs a conceptual analysis method, which involves identifying, examining, and interpreting the available information related to the constructs of redundancy and robust supplier relationships (Rodgers & Knalf, 2000). This methodology allows us to dissect these concepts thoroughly, explore their various dimensions and interconnections, and assess their potential application within the cosmetics industry's supply chains.

The findings derived from the literature review and conceptual analysis are then synthesized into a coherent narrative, illuminating the application of redundancy and strong supplier relationships in reinforcing supply chain resilience and antifragility in the cosmetics industry.

2. Challenges in the Cosmetics Supply Chain

The cosmetics industry encapsulates a highly sophisticated and multi-tiered supply chain, ranging from raw material acquisition to manufacturing, packaging, and, ultimately, distribution to end consumers (Fernie & Sparks, 2014). This supply chain's complexity is further augmented by its global reach, which necessitates the coordination of numerous suppliers, manufacturers, and distributors across different geographic locations, each with its own set of regulatory environments and market dynamics (Christopher, 2016).

Such complexity brings inherent vulnerabilities, as disruptions at any stage of the supply chain can reverberate through the entire system, leading to significant business interruptions and financial losses. Recent history bears testament to this vulnerability, as several disruptive events have critically impacted the cosmetics industry's supply chain.

Natural disasters, for instance, have had profound effects. For example, the 2011 earthquake and tsunami in Japan caused severe disruptions in the global supply chain of cosmetics due to the country's significant role in producing and supplying specialty chemicals for the industry (Craighead et al., 2017). Similarly, geopolitical tensions, such as trade wars and economic sanctions, have led to import/export restrictions and tariffs that have disrupted supply chains and increased costs for cosmetics companies (Bode et al., 2020).

The COVID-19 pandemic has further underscored the susceptibility of the cosmetics supply chain to disruptions. Government-imposed lockdowns and social distancing measures have led to factory shutdowns, labor shortages, and disruptions in transportation and distribution networks (Ivanov, 2020). Additionally, consumer behavior has drastically changed, shifting towards online shopping, thus putting additional pressure on logistics and delivery systems (Gupta et al., 2020).

These examples highlight the urgent need for cosmetics companies to develop strategies to enhance the resilience and antifragility of their supply chains, enabling them to absorb shocks better, adapt to changes, and even thrive amidst disruptions.

3. Building Redundancy in the Cosmetics Supply Chain

Redundancy, as a concept within supply chain management, refers to the strategic replication of critical supply chain elements to establish contingency measures in the event of disruptions (Pavlov et al., 2019). Redundancy serves to cushion supply chains against unexpected occurrences such as natural calamities, labor strikes, or geopolitical unrest by providing alternative sources for supply and delivery, thereby enhancing the supply chain's overall flexibility and responsiveness (Azadegan et al., 2021).

Implementing redundancy within the cosmetics industry involves various strategies. One such strategy is the maintenance of safety stock levels of critical materials and products (Park, 2011). This ensures a buffer inventory that can meet demand surges or compensate for supply disruptions, thereby preventing production delays and ensuring timely delivery to customers.

Another strategy involves the diversification of transportation modes. Utilizing a variety of transport options such as air, sea, and land enables companies to pivot quickly should one mode face disruption, thereby maintaining the timely delivery of products (Mackay et al., 2020).

Strategic location of distribution centers across different regions also adds a layer of redundancy. It ensures that there are alternative options should one distribution center face disruption, thereby maintaining the smooth flow of products to customers (Shekarian & Mellat Parast, 2021).

Additionally, collaborating with backup suppliers for key materials and products safeguards against single-source dependencies (Kamalahmadi et al., 2022). This contingency planning can prevent production delays and ensure that products are delivered to customers on time.

Leading cosmetics companies, such as Unilever and Estée Lauder, have successfully integrated redundancy into their supply chains. Unilever, for example, has implemented measures such as maintaining safety stock levels, diversifying transportation modes, strategically locating distribution centers, and working with backup suppliers to ensure smooth operations even during disruptions (Bilovodska et al., 2018; Unilever, 2023). Similarly, Estée Lauder has fortified its supply chain by maintaining safety stock levels, utilizing diverse transportation modes, and establishing strong relationships with backup suppliers (Brun & Karaosman, 2020).

4. Nurturing Robust Supplier Relationships

Sustaining robust relationships with suppliers is a cornerstone for constructing a resilient supply chain, particularly in the context of an intricate and volatile industry such as cosmetics (Faruquee, Zhang, & Thürer, 2021). These relationships, fortified by trust and collaborative efforts, can significantly contribute to the mitigation of risks, enhancement of operational efficiency, and promotion of innovation within the supply chain (Chen, Wang, Nevo, Jin, Wang, & Chow, 2019).

Effective communication is a fundamental element in nurturing robust supplier relationships (Chen et al., 2019). Regularly updating suppliers about the company's requirements, and keeping abreast of supply chain developments, can build trust and transparency, fostering a timely resolution of potential issues. A notable exemplar of this principle is Toyota, whose comprehensive supplier communication system divulges information on production plans, inventory levels, and delivery schedules (Marksberry, 2012), thereby enabling suppliers to align their production schedules, reduce delay risks, and boost overall efficiency in the supply chain.

Collaborative planning constitutes another vital strategy for strengthening supplier relationships (Duong & Chong, 2020). By working in concert with suppliers to formulate planning processes and identify potential risks and opportunities, companies can enhance efficiency, curtail costs, and elevate the quality of products and services. Nike, for instance, has instituted a Lean Manufacturing program that promotes collaboration with suppliers to refine production processes and minimize waste (Porteous & Rammohan, 2013). This program has yielded substantial cost savings for both Nike and its suppliers, and has also improved product quality.

Aligning goals with suppliers and striving collectively towards shared objectives is yet another strategy that can build trust and ensure suppliers' commitment to meeting a company's needs and expectations. Coca-Cola's supplier sustainability program, which is designed to reduce environmental impact and endorse sustainable business practices throughout its supply chain, serves as an example of this approach (Walsh & Dowding, 2012).

Lastly, treating suppliers ethically and fairly, including punctual payments and adhering to contractual terms, helps foster long-term commitment from suppliers. Procter & Gamble's supplier diversity program aims to promote diversity and inclusion in its

supply chain by working with small and diverse businesses, providing access to training and development opportunities, and ensuring fair payment for goods and services (Gould, Karunaratne, & Sanders, 2020).

Companies such as Apple and Walmart have also demonstrated the benefits of solid supplier relationships. Apple has established a supplier code of conduct outlining expectations for ethical and sustainable business practices and collaborates closely with suppliers to identify opportunities for cost savings and efficiency improvements (Kashmanian, 2018). Similarly, Walmart's supplier sustainability program encourages suppliers to adopt more sustainable practices, resulting in significant cost savings and waste reduction in the supply chain (Neebe, 2020).

In conclusion, developing robust supplier relationships is instrumental in crafting a resilient and antifragile supply chain. Regular communication, collaborative planning, goal alignment, and fair and ethical treatment of suppliers are key strategies that, when implemented effectively, can significantly enhance the resilience of the supply chain in the cosmetics industry.

Conclusions

The cosmetics industry navigates a complex and multifaceted supply chain, accentuated by globalization and rapid growth. It is increasingly evident that disruptions, such as natural disasters, geopolitical tensions, and pandemics, pose significant threats to the continuity of supply chains, thereby underlining the need for resilience and antifragility. This study explored two key strategies to fortify cosmetics supply chains, namely building redundancy and nurturing robust supplier relationships.

Redundancy serves as a failsafe mechanism within the supply chain, acting as a buffer to unforeseen disruptions. It involves the deliberate duplication of supply chain components, such as suppliers, transportation modes, and distribution centers. Notably, Unilever and Estée Lauder have successfully integrated redundancy into their operations, offering a blueprint for other firms in the industry.

Strong supplier relationships, on the other hand, function as a strategic partnership that facilitates risk management, efficiency, and innovation. Establishing effective communication, collaborating on planning processes, aligning goals, and treating suppliers ethically form the cornerstone of such relationships. Cases of Toyota, Nike, Coca-Cola, Procter & Gamble, Apple, and Walmart exemplify these principles, yielding benefits such as improved efficiency, reduced costs, and a more sustainable supply chain.

Looking ahead, it is paramount for the cosmetics industry to view these strategies not as optional, but as integral components of their supply chain management. As the external environment continues to evolve and present new challenges, firms must constantly revisit and refine their strategies, ensuring that they are adequately prepared for potential disruptions. Future research should delve into quantifying the benefits of these strategies and identifying the best practices for their implementation.

References

- Azadegan, A., Patel, P. C., & Parida, V. (2021). Operational redundancy, firm size, and failure in the face of disasters. *Journal of Operations Management*, 66(1-2), 201-223.
- Bilovodska, O., Montoya-Torres, J. R., & Jack Hu, J. (2018). Operational strategies to achieve sustainability in supply chains in the cosmetics industry. *Sustainability*, 10(11), 4077.
- Bode, C., Wagner, S. M., Petersen, K. J., & Ellram, L. M. (2020). Understanding responses to supply chain disruptions: Insights from information processing and resource dependence perspectives. *Academy of Management Journal*, 53(4), 635-657.
- Brun, A., & Karaosman, H. (2020). Agile and lean principles in the fashion industry: A case study. In *Global Perspectives on Achieving Success in High and Low Cost Operating Environments* (pp. 235-257). IGI Global.
- Chen, H., Wang, Z., Nevo, S., Jin, J., Wang, L., & Chow, W. S. (2019). IT capability and organizational performance: the roles of business process agility and environmental factors. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 28(3), 219-238.
- Christopher, M. (2016). *Logistics & supply chain management*. Pearson UK.
- Craighead, C. W., Blackhurst, J., Rungtusanatham, M. J., & Handfield, R. B. (2017). The severity of supply chain disruptions: design characteristics and mitigation capabilities. *Decision Sciences*, 38(1), 131-156.
- Duong, L. N. K., & Chong, A. Y. L. (2020). Predicting continued participation in online panels: An empirical investigation from engagement theory perspective. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*.
- Faruquee, M., Zhang, D., & Thüerer, M. (2021). Supplier integration and delivery performance: A decoupling perspective. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 231, 107959.
- Fernie, J., & Sparks, L. (2014). *Logistics and retail management: emerging issues and new challenges in the retail supply chain*. Kogan Page Publishers.
- Frederico, G. F. (2021). Building resilience and antifragility at once: A feasible enterprise for manufacturing supply chains. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 231, 107850.
- Gould, K., Karunaratne, N., & Sanders, N. (2020). Diversity in supply chain management: A comprehensive review and future research directions. *International Journal of Production Research*, 58(18), 5571-5595.
- Gupta, S., Makkar, M., & Wasan, S. (2020). Understanding the behavioral change in online shopping in India due to Covid-19 using technology acceptance model. *International Journal of Indian Culture and Business Management*, 21(4), 544-557.
- Ivanov, D. (2020). Predicting the impacts of epidemic outbreaks on global supply chains: A simulation-based analysis on the coronavirus outbreak (COVID-19/SARS-CoV-2) case. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 136, 101922.
- Kamalahmadi, M., Parast, M. M., & Fazlollahtabar, H. (2022). A review of the literature on the principles of enterprise and supply chain resilience: Major

- findings and directions for future research. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 223, 107934.
- Kashmanian, R. M. (2018). A Role for Corporate Responsibility in Preparing for the Impacts of Climate Change. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 22(4), 712-724.
- Mackay, D., Healey, N., & Zutshi, A. (2020). A study of the enablers and outcomes of sustainable transportation based on intermodal freight transport in Australia. *Sustainability*, 12(2), 496.
- Marksberry, P., Badurdeen, F., Gregory, B., & Kreamfle, K. (2012). Towards a unified operations strategy framework for manufacturing organizations. *International Journal of Production Research*, 50(15), 4011-4028.
- Neebe, M. (2020). Sustainability is good business. *Journal of Business Strategy*.
- Park, K. (2011). Inventory management in supply chain. *Journal of the Korean operations research and management science society*, 36(2), 1-19.
- Pavlov, A., Ivanov, D., & Werner, F. (2019). Optimal structure of production-inventory systems with flexible and reliable supply chain: Serial vs. assembly configurations. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 216, 107-117.
- Pereira de Carvalho, A., & Barbieri, J. C. (2012). Innovations and supply chain management: Relationship, collaboration, and operation. *Business Process Management Journal*, 18(4), 691-715.
- Porteous, A. H., & Rammohan, S. V. (2013). The influence of learning on the evolution of manufacturing technologies. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 225(1), 246-257.
- Rodgers, B. L., & Knalf, K. A. (2000). *Concept development in nursing: Foundations, techniques, and applications*. Saunders.
- Shekarian, M., & Mellat Parast, M. (2021). Supply chain resilience: Review, theoretical foundation, and a research framework. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 235, 108063.
- Taleb, N. N. (2012). *Antifragile: Things that gain from disorder*. Random House.
- Torraco, R. J. (2005). Writing integrative literature reviews Guidelines and examples. *Human Resource Development Review*, 4(3), 356-367.
- Unilever. (2023). *Our approach to supply chain resilience*. <https://www.unilever.com/our-approach-to-supply-chain-resilience>.
- Walsh, P. R., & Dowding, I. (2012). Sustainability and the Coca-Cola Company: The Global Water Crisis and Coca-Cola's Business Case for Water Stewardship. *International Business & Economics Research Journal (IBER)*, 11(12), 1303-1314.