

ON RINGS FOR WHICH SOME PRETORSIONS ARE COHEREDITARY

ION BUNU

Habited Doctor, University Professor,
Academy of Economic Studies of Moldova,
Chisinau, Republic of Moldova
Email: bunu@ase.md
ORCID: 0009-0006-4600-1596

OLGA CHICU

PHD Student,
Academy of Economic Studies of Moldova,
Chisinau, Republic of Moldova
Email: chicu.olga@ase.md
ORCID: 0009-0007-3885-3825

Abstract: A ring R is characterized by the pretorsions of the category $R\text{-Mod}$ of left R -modules: R is **completely reducible** if and only if $z(R)=0$ and every pretorsion $r \geq z$ is cohereditary, where z is a pretorsion of $R\text{-Mod}$, defined by essential left ideals of R .

Keywords: pretorsion, torsion, cohereditary pretorsion

JEL Classification: C02

Let R be an associative ring with identity and z be a pretorsion, the filter of which consist from the essentially left ideals of a ring R .

Description of rings R over which all pretorsions (or only some of them) possess some properties, presents a considerable interest.

Typical examples:

(1) All pretorsions $r \geq z$ are torsions if and only if R is a left strongly semiprime ring ([4], Theorem, p.80).

(2) All pretorsions $r \geq z$ are superhereditary if and only if R is essentially artinian ring ([5], Theorem, p.110).

In this paper is proved that ring R is nonsingular ($z(R) = 0$) and all pretorsions $r > z$ are cohereditary if and only if R is *completely reducible*.

First of all, we present some preliminary notions and definitions.

1. A **preradical** r of $R\text{-Mod}$ is a subfunctor of the identity functor of $R\text{-Mod}$ ([1-3]).

Every preradical r of $R\text{-Mod}$ defines two class of modules:

$R(r) = \{M \in R\text{-Mod} \mid r(M) = M\}$ and $P(r) = \{M \in R\text{-Mod} \mid r(M) = 0\}$.

Modules of the class $\mathfrak{R}(r)$ are called **r-torsion**, and of the class $P(r)$ are called r-torsionfree. Preradicals o and ε for which $P(o) = R - Mod$ and $R(\varepsilon) = R - Mod$ are called zero and identity respectively.

If r and t are preradicals then $r \leq t$ means $r(M) \subseteq t(M)$ for every $M \in R - Mod$.

2. A preradical r is called:

- a **pretorsion** (or hereditary) if $r(N) = N \cap r(M)$ for any submodule N of an arbitrary module $M \in R - Mod$;
- **torsion**, if r is a pretorsion and $r(M|r(M)) = 0$ for every $M \in R - Mod$;
- **superhereditary** if it is hereditary and the class $\mathfrak{R}(r)$ is closed under direct products;
- **cohereditary** if $r(M|N) = (N + r(M)|N)$ for every $M \in R - Mod$ and every submodule N of M .

3. (a) For any **pretorsion** r and every module M of $R-Mod$ the following equality is true:

$$r(R) = \sum_{\alpha} \{M_{\alpha} \subseteq M | M_{\alpha} \in R(r)\}$$

(b) For any **torsion** r and every module $M \in R - Mod$ the following equality is true:

$$r(M) = \bigcap_{\alpha} \{M_{\alpha} \subseteq M | M|M_{\alpha} \in P(r)\}$$

It follows directly from the Proposition 1.5 [1].

4. The **intersection** of pretorsions r_1 and r_2 is the pretorsion $r_1 \wedge r_2$ determined by the rule:
 $(r_1 \wedge r_2)(M) = r_1(M) \cap r_2(M)$ for any $M \in R - Mod$.

The **sum** of pretorsion r_1 and r_2 is the preradical $r_1 + r_2$ defined by the relation:

$$(r_1 + r_2)(M) = r_1(M) + r_2(M) \text{ for any } M \in R - Mod.$$

5. The **Goldie pretorsion** z is a torsion if and only if $z(R) = 0$ ([2], Prop. I.10.2).

6. A ring R is called **strongly semiprime** (SSP), if every essential left ideal P is cofaithful, i.e.

$$(O:P) = \bigcap_{\alpha=1}^n (O:p_{\alpha}) = 0$$

for some elements $p_{\alpha} \in P$.

The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) R is a left SSP-ring.
- (2) All pretorsion $r \geq z$ are torsions.
- (3) $Z(R)=0$ and the lattice $[z,\varepsilon]$ is complemented.

Passing to the presentation of the basic material we formulate first of all the criterion of **coheredity** of any pretorsion.

Proposition 1. For any pretorsion r the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) r is cohereditary;
- (2) $r(M) = r(R) \cdot M$ for any $M \in R - Mod$;
- (3) r is a torsion and the class $P(r)$ is closed under homomorphic images.

Proof. Equivalence of statement (1) and (2) follows from Lemma 3.b [1] or from the Proposition 1.2.8 [2].

Implication (1) \Rightarrow (3) results directly from the definition of the cohereditary pretorsion.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Let r be a torsion and class $P(r)$ is closed under homomorphic images. We will show that for any module M and for any its submodule N the equality $r(M|N) = [N + r(M)]|N$ is true. Indeed, since $[N + r(M)]|N$ is an r -torsion submodule of the module $M|N$ then by the statement 3(a) we have $[N + r(M)]|N \subseteq r(M|N)$. Conversely, since r is a torsion, then by the definition $r(M|[N + r(M)])$ for any module $M \in R - Mod$. But $P(r)$ is closed under homomorphic images the module $M|[N + r(M)]$ is also r -torsion free. Then from the isomorphism $[M|N]|([N + r(M)]|N) \approx M|[N + r(M)]$ we obtain that

$[N + r(M)]|N \subseteq r(M|N) \subseteq [N + r(M)]|N$ imply the equality $r(M|N) = [N + r(M)]|N$. Therefore, by the definitions r is a cohereditary pretorsion. \square

In this work, we will show applications of this result.

Proposition 2. For arbitrary pretorsions r and t , the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $R = r(R) \oplus t(R)$ where r and t are choereditary;
- (2) $M = r(M) \oplus t(M)$ for any module $M \in R - Mod$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). From the relation $R = r(R) \oplus t(R)$ we obtain that for any module $M \in R - Mod$ the equality $M = r(M) \oplus t(M)$ is true. Since pretorsions r and t are cohereditary according to the Proposition 1 we have that $r(R) \cdot M = r(M)$ and $t(R)M = t(M)$. Therefore $M = r(M) \oplus t(M)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Suppose that for any module $M \in R - Mod$ the equality $M = r(M) \oplus t(M)$ is true. Then, particularly, $R = r(R) \oplus t(R)$. It remains to prove that pretorsions r and t are cohereditary. Indeed, from the equality $M = r(M) \oplus t(M)$ we obtain $M|r(M) \approx t(M)$, so $r(M|r(M)) = r(t(M)) = t(M) \cap r(M) = 0$. Therefore, pretorsion r is a torsion. Identically they show that t is a torsion.

Continuing let's show that the classes $P(r)$ and $P(t)$ are closed under homomorphic images. It is sufficient to verify for the class $P(r)$. Let M be an arbitrary r -torsionfree module ($r(M)=0$). By the assumption, $M = r(M) \oplus t(M) = t(M)$. Then

$r(M|N) = r[t(M)|N] \subseteq t(M)|N \cap r(M|N) \subseteq t(M|N) \cap r(M|N) = 0$ (statement 3(a)).

Therefore, class $P(r)$ is closed under homomorphic images. By the Proposition 1 the pretorsion r is cohereditary. Similarly, they show that pretorsion t is also cohereditary. \square

Proposition 3. For the pretorsion $r \geq z$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) The class $P(r)$ is closed under homomorphic images.
- (2) Any r -torsionfree module is injective.
- (3) Any r -torsionfree module in completely reducible.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Suppose that class $P(r)$ is closed under homomorphic images and M is arbitrary r -torsionfree module. For the injective hull \widehat{M} of a module M we have that $r(\widehat{M}) = 0$ and $r(\widehat{M}|M) = 0$.

From the inclusion $M \subseteq \widehat{M}$ we obtain that $z(\widehat{M}|M) = \widehat{M}|M$, while from inequality $z \leq r$ we obtain $z(\widehat{M}|M) = 0$. But equalities $\widehat{M}|M = z(\widehat{M}|M) = 0$ imply $M = \widehat{M}$. Therefore, M is injective.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) is obvious.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Suppose that the module M is r -torsionfree and completely reducible. Then for any its submodule N we have $M = N \oplus C$. Since $r(C) = 0$, therefore the isomorphism $C \cong M|N$ we obtain that $r(M|N) = 0$. Because modules M and N were chosen arbitrarily we have that class $P(r)$ is closed under homomorphic images. \square

Theorem 4. The following statements are equivalent:

- (1) All pretorsions $r \geq z$ are cohereditary.
- (2) $z(R) = 0$ and all pretorsion $r > z$ are cohereditary.
- (3) R is a completely reducible ring.
- (4) Z is cohereditary.

Proof. Implication (1) \Rightarrow (2) is trivial, since z is torsion if $z(R) = 0$. The equivalence of the statement (3) and (4) results directly from Proposition 3 (see Theorem I.10.7 [2]).

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Suppose that $z(R) = 0$ and all pretorsion $r > z$ are cohereditary. By the Proposition 1 all pretorsion $r > z$ are torsion. Since the pretorsion z is a torsion ($z(R) = 0$) we have that all pretorsions $r \geq z$ are torsion, whence we obtain that the ring R is strongly semiprime. By the statement 6 the lattice $[z, \varepsilon]$ is complemented. Therefore, for any pretorsion $r > z$ there exists the pretorsion $t > z$ such that $z = r \cap t$. Then $z(R) = r(R) \cap t(R) = 0$. Since modules $R|r(R)$ and $R|t(R)$ are respectively r -torsionfree and t -torsionfree (r, t -torsions) and r and t are cohereditary, by the Proposition 3 modules $R|r(R)$ and $R|t(R)$ are completely reducible. The from relation $R = R|[r(R) \cap t(R)] \subseteq R|r(R) \oplus R|t(R)$ it follows that the ring R is completely reducible.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Over any completely reducible ring all pretorsion r are torsion and class $P(r)$ is closed under homomorphical images. Bu the Proposition 1 every pretorsion are cohereditary, particularly and all pretorsion $r \geq z$ too. \square

REFERENCES:

1. Kashu A. I. *Radicals and torsions in modules*. Kishinev, Știința, 1983 (In Russian).
2. Bican L., Kepka T., Nemeč P. *Rings, modules and preradicals*. Marcel Dekker, 1982.
3. Stenström B. *Rings of quotients*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975
4. Bunu I. D. *On the strongly semiprime rings*. Bulet. A. Ș. R. M. Matematica, 1977, no. 1(23), p. 78-83 (In Russian).
5. Bunu I. D. *Essential left artinian rings and coatomity of lattice of pretorsions*. Bulet. A.Ș.R.M., Matematica, 1996, no. 1(20), p. 106 – 112 (In Russian).